

European Journal of Educational Sciences (EJES)

2018 / December

Publisher:

**European Scientific Institute,
ESI**

Reviewed by the "European Journal of Educational Sciences" editorial board 2018

December 2018 edition vol. 5, no. 4

The contents of this journal do not necessarily reflect the opinion or position of the European Scientific Institute. Neither the European Scientific Institute nor any person acting on its behalf is responsible for the use which may be made of the information in this publication.

ISSN 1857- 6036

About The Journal

The European Journal of Educational Sciences is a peer - reviewed international journal which accepts high quality research articles. It is a quarterly journal published at the end of March, June, September and December and is available to all researchers who are interested in publishing their scientific achievements. We welcome submissions focusing on theories, methods and applications in educational sciences, both articles and book reviews.

Authors can publish their articles after a review by our editorial board. Our mission is to provide greater and faster flow of the newest scientific thought. EJES's role is to be a kind of a bridge between the researchers around the world. "EJES" is opened to any researchers, regardless of their geographical origin, race, nationality, religion or gender as long as they have an adequate scientific paper in the educational sciences field.

EJES provides immediate open access to its content on the principle that making research freely available to the public, supports a greater global exchange of knowledge.

Sincerely,

EJES, Team

Table of Contents:

**A Systematic Review on Age 11-16 Ethnic Minority Students' Attitudes
Toward Science and Aspirations for Science Careers.....1**

Yuling Sun

Shu-Nu Chang Rundgren

**Analysis of Graduate Satisfaction and Experience with Higher Education
Services in a Ghanaian University.....14**

Ibrahim Alhassan

Elias N. K. Sowley

Richard Nalarb Yakubu

Bawah A. Kassim

A Systematic Review on Age 11-16 Ethnic Minority Students' Attitudes Toward Science and Aspirations for Science Careers

Yuling Sun
Shu-Nu Chang Rundgren

Department of Education, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v5no4a1

[URL:http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/ejes.v5no4a1](http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/ejes.v5no4a1)

Abstract

Ethnic minority students have long been underrepresented in the field of science due to social, cultural and economic factors. Understanding how their attitudes toward science and aspirations for science careers formed and developed during secondary educational level is critical to support them live their full potential for science. Numerous studies have been conducted on this topic, however a systematic examination of these studies is lacking. This research is a systematic literature review that aims to select and investigate empirical studies in the ERIC database in the timeframe from 2005 to 2017 to understand age 11-16 ethnic minority students' attitudes toward science and their aspiration for science careers. A total of 10 literature with empirical studies were identified after screening the literature with specific criteria. The factors that influence ethnic minority students' attitudes toward science and their aspiration for science careers are presented. The limitations in the previous research are discussed to provide recommendation for future research.

Keywords: Science education, attitudes toward science, aspirations for science careers, secondary education, literature review.

Introduction

Investigating students' affective factors such as attitudes and aspirations toward science contributes to a more holistic picture of the student perspective in science education together with research that focus on cognitive assessments. Maintaining positive attitudes and interests in science is not only the learning objective in most school curricula worldwide, but also is critical to foster students as citizens to respect and appreciate science as part of the culture of society (Sjøberg & Schreiner, 2010). Even if the scientific facts, concepts or theories were forgotten, the scientific way of thinking, as well as

the “ethos” or “atmosphere” of the subject remains long time after the school is over (Sjøberg & Schreiner, 2010, p. 4). Moreover, attitudes and aspirations serve as indicators for future educational choices for students. The deeper understanding of what constitutes students’ attitudes and aspirations in science, the better can educators and policymakers predict what students’ education choices; and further develop educational interventions at an early stage to promote students’ interests in pursuing science careers.

Students’ attitudes toward science have long been concerned by researchers and policymakers for it not only links to how students understand and appreciate scientific explanatory hypotheses in the material and natural world, but more importantly indicates how they aspire to become the next generation of scientists (Tytler & Osborne, 2012). It has been demonstrated that the majority of young people hold positive attitudes toward science at age 10 (Murphy & Beggs, 2005), however, the decline of students’ positive attitudes as they turning older has been widely acknowledged, especially in developed countries (Potvin & Hasni, 2014). At the same time, studies have shown the significance of adolescence in determining students’ attitudes and aspirations for careers for future development (Tai, Liu, Maltese, & Fan, 2006; DeWitt et al., 2011). By age 13 or 14, most students’ attitudes toward science are well established and are progressively harder to change after that age period (DeWitt et al., 2011). These findings drew attention from researchers and educators to value the secondary education level period as critical for attaining students’ attitudes and aspirations toward science continuously.

In addition, it was found that women and students from ethnic minority groups were facing more obstacles and much less likely than White males to enter occupations in science-related fields (Campbell, Denes, & Morrison, 2000). Although students from ethnic minority groups had overall positive attitudes toward science, the majority of them showed the lack of aspirations for continuing studying science and pursuing science careers (Tai et al., 2006). At the same time, external factors such as limited support from families, relatively low socio-economic status and low educational attainment kept them “opting out” the educational pipeline of science even if they aspired for a science career (DeWitt et al., 2011).

Thus, it is important to examine ethnic minority students’ attitudes toward science and their aspiration for science careers in secondary educational level, especially with an emphasis on the gender perspective. The significance of encouraging women and students from ethnic minority groups in science education not only lies in re-evaluating human resources, but also in increasing the potential to reduce gender and racial gaps in income and occupational prestige for social inclusion (Perry, Link, Boelter, & Leukefeld, 2012). With the aim of contributing to the continuous development of science, science education and social inclusion for ethnic minority students in both

genders, this article presents the outcomes of a systematic literature review of empirical studies concerning ethnic minority students' attitudes toward science and aspirations for science careers. Critical reflections are made based on previous studies' theoretical framework, methods used, empirical findings and how future research can be focused to fill in the identified limitations and gaps.

Research Method

A systematic review examining studies that focus on ethnic minority students' attitudes toward science and aspirations for science careers was conducted in this research. The method applied for the literature review was following Arksey and O'Malley's framework (2005), which includes identifying research questions, identifying relevant studies and, selecting relevant studies, charting the data, and reporting results.

The aim of the review is to explore ethnic minority students' attitudes toward science and their aspiration for science careers, with a focus on secondary education level where students' age range from 11 to 16. To provide knowledge on the state of art and further give recommendation on research to increase the percentages of science majors, the research questions are specified into three including:

1. What factors do influence their attitudes?
2. What factors do influence students' aspirations?
3. What are the limitations in the previous research?

The review applied the online database ERIC with three keywords including "attitudes toward science", "ethnic minority students" and "career choice" to identify a specific range of studies. Afterwards, the inclusion criteria as illustrated in Table 1 were developed to narrow down the studies used in this review. The selection of literature of this review work was based on the time period of the last 12 years (2005-2017), since the Relevance of Science Education (ROSE) project was initiated in 2005. ROSE provided a valid and reliable instrument to assess students' attitudes toward science and aspirations for science career, and served as an influential foundation for comparing students' attitudes toward science on an international scale (Sjøbeg & Schreiner, 2005).

Table 1. The inclusion and exclusion criteria for the literature selection

Criteria	Inclusion
1. Time Period	The last 12 years (2005-2017)
2. Study Focus	Secondary education period (including formal, informal and non-formal educational contexts)
3. Literature Focus	Studies looking into ethnic minority students' attitudes toward science and aspirations for science career
4. Sample	Ethnic minority students in secondary education level from age 11 to 16

Following, the literature selection process was conducted (Figure 1). Based on the three keywords, a total of 1472 literature were initially identified in ERIC database retrieved on 16th of November in 2017. After excluding the literature studies that were conducted out of the timeframe (criteria 1) and were not in the secondary educational level (criteria 2), 76 studies remained. Then the second round of selection was done after applying the excluded criteria 3 and 4, only 21 studies fitted into the aim of this review. After reading abstracts of articles. After excluding reports (non-empirical studies), only ten literature that fitted into the aim of this review were finally selected.




Figure 1. Literature selection process

The findings

This section synthesizes the research findings to draw a portrait of what ethnic minority students' attitudes toward science and aspirations for science career were, and the related factors related to them. The limitations identified in the literature are also presented in order to give recommendation for future research.

Ethnic minority students' attitudes toward science

In general, White and Asian students had generally more positive attitudes and higher aspirations than other students of colour (Perry et al., 2012; Wong, 2012). Perry et al. (2012) also pointed out that pervasive differences were seen in African American students. They reported lower confidence in completing science courses and higher anxiety toward science compared to their White peers. At the same time, Latino students were reported to have lower educational aspirations and report receiving lower parental aspirations relative to White students (Perry et al., 2012).

When concerning gender, Anastasiadou, Angeletos and Vandikas (2010) identified the consistent finding that first-generation immigrant girls possessed more positive attitudes toward physics than boys. However, no significant gender difference was found by Navarro, Förster, González and González-Pose (2016) in Spanish language environment using TORSA. Perry et al. (2012) reported that girls outperformed boys with higher self-esteem and higher aspirations in an informal educational setting. When looking at students' attitudes over time, Ing and Nylund-Gibson (2017) found that fewer female students (29%) than male students (41%) have a very positive attitudes toward science at an early time (in the seventh grade), however, this attitudes toward science were not stable and male students' attitudes dropped over the time. In the 12th grade the percentage of students holding very positive attitudes were approximately similar among males (34%) and females (32%). It was also shown that males and females were equally likely to change their attitudinal profiles over time (Ing & Nylund-Gibson, 2017).

However, the picture is more complicated when looking at ethnicity and gender together. Perry et al. (2012) pointed out that the adverse effect of being Latino or African American operate almost exclusively for boys regarding educational and science attitudes. The predicted value of self-esteem for African American girls is similar to that of White girls and White boys while African American boys is significantly lower (Perry et al., 2012). The same trend goes to Latino girls. However, study conducted by Riegle-Crumb, Moore and Ramos-Wada (2011) showed a different picture. This study indicated that ethnic minority boys tended to have rather similar attitudes toward science and math compared to White boys. However, the achievement of Black and Hispanic male students fell behind of White male students by a

large margin. According to Riegle-Crumb et al. (2011), females from all background tended to have less enjoyment and self-concept in science than White male students. Ing and Nylund-Gibson (2017) found that females from ethnic minority groups/underrepresented who showed the qualified positive profile in early age were more likely to transit to less positive profiles compared to females that were not underrepresented.

What factors did influence their attitudes?

Anastasiadou et al. (2010) stated that the location of the school appears to be a cause for some specific negative attitudes of first-generation immigrant students toward physical science. Anastasiadou et al. (2010) also pointed out that students' attitudes toward physics were affected by parents' education levels and occupations, these two factors influenced the social economic status (SES) of the family. Perry et al. (2012) reported that household income had a negative impact on school self-esteem for girls but positive effects for boys. In relation to science, household income had almost no influence on girls' feelings of anxiety toward science but has a strong and negative effect on boys (Perry et al., 2012). Perry et al. (2012) especially pointed out the multiplicative effects of gender and disadvantaged ethnic or SES groups. The combination of being both male and either from the social-economic disadvantaged background or ethnic minority groups were more likely to influence students' attitudes and decisions about science education and careers (Perry et al., 2012).

Wong (2012) conducted an in-depth case study of two 13-year-old British Asian girls regarding their attitudes and self-identification with science. The study showed that both two girls' self-identification with science was highly influenced by social and cultural capitals. Although one girl's interests in science were decreasing since she entered secondary school, she chose to challenge the most difficult triple science class based on her desire to identify with her construction of the subject as being "smart and clever" (p. 59). She also had various recourses available from her parents, older brother and uncle to assist her to continue studying science. On the other hand, the other girl showed little interest in triple science because she desired to enter the show business and becoming famous and she kept up her grades in science mainly because her mom was strictly supervising her schoolwork. Wong (2012) argued that the differences between these two girls in social and cultural capital in science result in different forms of identity performativity (being "clever" and being "famous") and educationally oriented habitus of ("long-term" of challenging harder science courses and "short-term" of getting good grades) (p. 61).

Aspirations for science careers

Who aspires science careers? Results of the quantitative study by

DeWitt and Archer (2015) showed that, at year 6, 7.8% of all students held strong aspirations in pursuing science careers, and among them 60.7% were White, 18.2% were South Asian, 9.4% were Black and 9.5% were other ethnicities. When it came to year 9, 8.5% of the whole student held strong aspirations, percentages among different ethnic groups slightly changed but the significant gap still remained with 57.1% White, 21.9% South Asian, 8.4% Black and 11.7% other.

However, concerning the likelihood of students to fall in the group that holds strong aspirations in science, the results seemed to be at contradictory with the prediction by Logistic multilevel models (MLM) (DeWitt & Archer, 2015). The models showed the factors that most strongly predicted whether or not a child is likely to strongly aspire for the science career, and these factors include gender, ethnicity (Black, Other and Asian), cultural capital (high and very high), science set or family background. Specifically speaking, compared to White male students from the medium cultural capital, girls were less likely than boys to have strong aspirations; Asian students, as well as Black and other ethnicities were more likely than White students to fall into this group. Students with high or very high cultural capital were more likely to hold strong aspirations than students from medium or low levels. And finally, students who were in the top set for science and have family connections to science field are twice as likely to fall into the group (DeWitt & Archer, 2015).

The prediction was confirmed by another quantitative study by Rodrigues, Jindal-Snape and Snape (2011) examining Scottish students' aspirations. Results showed that only 40% of Scottish male and 32% of Scottish female were considering a career in science, in comparison with Pakistani-related pupils, 85% of Pakistani-related male students were considering a career in science while only 22% of females were considering a career in science. Riegle-Crumb et al. (2011) applied logistic regression analysis to predict student's aspirations for science careers for White, Black, and Hispanic students. Results showed that with the exception of Black male students, youth from other ethnic and gender subgroups were significantly less likely than White males to desire a science career.

What factors did influence students' aspirations?

Perceived parental and peer attitudes. DeWitt and Archer (2015) pointed out that, in both Year 6 and Year 9, students' aspirations in science were mostly influenced by perceived parental attitudes to science and their own attitudes and experiences of school science. Ethnicity and gender differences were seen according to Rodrigues et al. (2011) when examining students' perceived parental and peer attitudes. Compared to very few Scottish British male and female students perceived their parents thought science careers were better, students from ethnic minority backgrounds perceived

more importance from their parents' attitudes. It was found that 89% of Other Asian (Indian, Chinese, other Asian) males, 64% Others (European, Afro-Caribbean) females, 62% of Pakistani-related males and females thought their parents value the importance of science (Rodrigues et al., 2011). And among certain groups (Pakistani-related males and Other Asian males), students thought that their parents thought science careers were best even though their parents were not interested in science and did not consider science to be important (Rodrigues et al., 2011). Rodrigues et al. (2011) pointed out that there was a moderate positive correlation between the percentage of students who thought that their friends thought science careers were the best and the percentage of students who aspired for science career. Perceived parental attitudes had a stronger impact on how ethnic minority students aspired for science careers.

Self-identification with science. Two qualitative studies in this review also revealed how a typical scientist figure picture made science career unattractive for ethnic minority students, particularly for girls (Wong, 2015). In the interviews conducted with 46 ethnic minority students, majority of them (42 students) expressed that “anyone can be a scientists”, if they were clever or work hard. However, more than half of the students also associated scientists with certain social identities, where scientists were more stereotyped in terms of gender (e.g. “for men”, “manly-ish”) and ethnicity (e.g. “for white people”). It was shown that 16 out of 26 boys and 13 out of 20 girls reinforced the traditional gender stereotype statement that “scientists are mostly men” (Wong, 2015). An Indian boy implied that the dangerous nature of science when sometimes people got hurt, so science was not suitable for women. A similar statement was made by a Chinese boy that science was “not good for women, it doesn't suit their kind”. Such statements were also heard from girls, an Indian girl thought that science was a “man's field” , because women needed to do housework and another Indian girl said she would feel “strange”, if she saw scientists were not men (Wong, 2015).

Wong (2015) pointed out that identifications with science could be particularly difficult for females from ethnic minority groups because the dominant discourse of scientists was not only gendered but also racialized. The images of scientists were specifically represented and reinforced as White men (Wong, 2015). A Bangladeshi boy stated that most well-known scientists were “European, Western, White”. It is also important to note that some students had recognized the potential barrier of racism in pursuing a science career. For example, an Indian girl speculated that Black people might get discouraged from most White scientists. Another Indian girl confirmed this point of view stating that an Asian girl might change her mind about becoming a scientist when she had “never seen an Asian scientist” (Wong, 2015).

Science capital. Archer, DeWitt and Willis (2014) defined science capital as a conceptual device that combines various types of economic, social and cultural capital that specifically relate to science. Wong (2015) pointed out that these resources related to science learning could strengthen or weaken students' aspirations toward, and identifications with, science and science careers. Having family members using science in their work and science set were particularly important for students in ethnic minority groups to aspire a science career. Results from DeWitt and Archer (2015) showed that students who had a family member working in a science-related job were 2.16 time more likely to fall into the group that strongly aspired for a science career than those who did not.

Another aspect related to science capital is the economic, social and cultural status and rewards that science brings as a career. Based on the distinction that Wong (2015) made between careers *in* science and careers *from* science, Wong (2015) also pointed out that careers from science (e.g., medical doctor, engineer, IT/games developer) seemed to be more attractive among ethnic minority students. These professions were more identifiable to ethnic minority students for these professions were generally considered to be good financially rewarding, more intelligible for "people like us" and had good reputation endorsed by family/community members. At the same time, the Indian British girl pointed out in the case study by Wong (2012) that her parents and members of her extended family viewed careers in the field of medicine and law as desirable professions because of financial secure and social status that those professions brought.

Experience of school science. Analyses by DeWitt and Archer (2015) revealed that attitudes and experiences to school science and parental attitudes to science were the most closely influential factors for students' aspiration in science. At the same time, structural factors including gender, ethnicity and cultural capital strongly influenced students' attitudes, experience and participation of science in school and out of school. DeWitt and Archer (2015) found that despite students' positive attitudes toward school science, the gap remained between their attitudes and aspirations in science. It was found that students' enjoyment of science lessons seemed to decrease over time for both Year 6 and Year 9 students.

Limitation of selected studies

Studies included in this review revealed several limitations when examined individually and as a whole. This section regards all studies in this review altogether and examines the limitations from a macro perspective. Gaps found from previous researches are presented to serve as guidelines, to give recommendation for future researches.

Validity and reliability of the instrument

Research instrument under this topic serves as measurement tools for grasping ethnic minority students' attitudes toward science and aspirations for science careers. The key constructs for developing and also assessing research instruments are their reliability and validity (Tytler & Osborne, 2012). As Messick (1989) emphasized, constructing validity of an instrument depends on the extent that the items being measured are supported by a good theoretical foundation, so it is clear that what the instrument is attempting to measure and why it would be considered important to be measured (Tytler & Osborne, 2012). On the other hand, constructs such as "attitudes toward science" and "aspiration for science career" are underlying unitary constructs synthesized from multiple components. In this case, internally consistent and uni-dimensional are considered important to make sure the psychometric principle of applying the instrument (Tytler & Osborne, 2012).

Two studies included in this review examined and confirmed validity and reliability of selected research instruments (Anastasiadou et al., 2010; Navarro et al., 2016). Meanwhile, there were other two studies applied instruments of previous researches that validity and reliability had been proven before (Hayden, Ouyang, Scinski, Olszewski, & Bielefeldt, 2011; Rodrigues et al., 2011). However, specific examination or statement regarding validity and reliability of applied instruments were not found in the rest four studies. Among them, three studies did not provide a solid theoretical framework to guide and support the formation of research instruments.

Lack of qualitative studies

In this review, among the 10 identified empirical studies, there were only three studies using qualitative method (Wong, 2012, 2015; Ing & Nylund-Gibson, 2017), while quantitative method was mainly used in the rest (Anastasiadou et al., 2010; Navarro et al., 2016; Perry et al., 2012; Rodrigues et al., 2011; Hayden et al., 2011; DeWitt & Archer, 2015; Riegle-Crumb et al., 2011). A quantitative orientation provides portraits of what students' attitudes toward science and their aspirations for science careers were, and quantified the relations between different influential variables. However, qualitative studies were found lacking in terms of why and how the related factors were supporting or hindering students from ethnic minority background to continue studying science and choosing science as careers. At the same time, why impact varies on students from different ethnic minority groups and also more negative on boys while girls seem to be resilient was not clearly examined (Perry et al., 2012). As Wong (2015) suggests in his study, the significance of future researches lies beyond just examining the numbers or patterns of students (across ethnicity) that interested in science and aspired for science career as a marker for science equity, but more importantly recognize and

appreciate the reasons behind why and how different students participate in, or identify with, science and science careers.

Conclusion

This review includes 10 empirical studies identified in the ERIC database in order to explore age 11-16 ethnic minority students' attitudes toward science and aspirations for science careers. Despite ethnic minority students hold overall positive attitudes toward science and especially boys most expressed the aspiration for science careers, their further development is still restrained by lacking parental support, low science capital they possess, negative experiences with school science, and unable to identify themselves as scientists. These factors are all deeply patterned by gender, ethnicity and socio-economic status (Riegle-Crumb et al., 2011; Rodrigues et al., 2011; Wong, 2012, 2015).

Limitations found in the previous studies provide researchers suggestions for future studies. Research with qualitative methods are needed to deeply look into the reasons for the possible differences revealed in difference genders and among ethnic minority groups. At the same time, the quantitative studies presented in this article can be further examined the validity and reliability of their research instruments. Last but not the least, further research can focus on the gap between aspirations and actual behaviour of choosing science as careers, and providing suggestions for interventions for promote more ethnic minority students in pursuing science careers is needed.

References:

1. Arksey, H., & O'Malley, L. (2005). Scoping studies: Towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 8(1), 19-32.
2. Anastasiadou, S. D., Angeletos, T., & Vandikas, I. (2010). A multidimensional statistical analysis of students' attitudes toward physics. *International Journal of Diversity in Organisations, Communities & Nations*, 16, 341-356.
3. Archer, L., DeWitt, J., & Willis, B. (2014). Adolescent boys' science aspirations: Masculinity, capital, and power. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 51(1), 1-30.
4. Campbell, G., Denes, R., & Morrison, C. (2000). *Access denied: Race, ethnicity, and the scientific enterprise*. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
5. DeWitt, J., & Archer, L. (2015). Who aspires to a science career? A comparison of survey responses from primary and secondary school students. *International Journal of Science Education*, 37(13), 2170-2192.

6. DeWitt, J., Archer, L., Osborne, J., Dillon, J., Willis, B., & Wong, B. (2011). High aspirations but low progression: The science aspirations–careers paradox amongst minority ethnic students. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 9(2), 243–271.
7. Hayden, K., Ouyang, Y., Scinski, L., Olszewski, B., & Bielefeldt, T. (2011). Increasing student interest and attitudes in STEM: Professional development and activities to engage and inspire learners. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, 11(1), 47–69.
8. Ing, M., & Nylund-Gibson, K. (2017). The importance of early attitudes toward mathematics and science. *Teachers College Record*, 119(5).
9. Messick, S. (1989). Validity. In R. L. Linn (Ed.), *Educational measurement* (3rd ed., pp. 13-104). New York, NY: American Council on education and Macmillan.
10. Murphy, C., & Beggs, J. (2005). Primary science in the UK: A scoping study. Final report to the Wellcome Trust. London: Wellcome Trust.
11. Navarro, M., Förster, C., González, C., & González-Pose, P. (2016). Attitudes toward science: measurement and psychometric properties of the Test of Science-Related Attitudes for its use in Spanish-speaking classrooms. *International Journal of Science Education*, 38(9), 1459–1482.
12. Perry, B. L., Link, T., Boelter, C., & Leukefeld, C. (2012). Blinded to science: Gender differences in the effects of race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status on academic and science attitudes among sixth graders. *Gender and Education*, 24(7), 725–743.
13. Potvin, P., & Hasni, A. (2014). Interest, motivation and attitude towards science and technology at K-12 levels: A systematic review of 12 years of educational research. *Studies in Science Education*, 50(1), 85–129.
14. Riegle-Crumb, C., Moore, C., & Ramos-Wada, A. (2011). Who wants to have a career in science or math? Exploring adolescents' future aspirations by gender and race/ethnicity. *Science Education*, 95(3), 458–476.
15. Rodrigues, S., Jindal-Snape, D., & Snape, J. (2011). Factors that influence student pursuit of science careers; the role of gender, ethnicity, family and friends. *Science Education International*, 22(4), 266–273.
16. Sjøbeg, S., & Schreiner, C. (2005, March). Young people and science attitudes, values and priorities: Evidence from the ROSE project. Keynote presentation at the European Union Science and Society Forum, Brussels.

17. Sjøberg, S., & Schreiner, C. (2010). The ROSE project: An overview and key findings. Retrieved from <https://roseproject.no/network/countries/norway/eng/nor-Sjoberg-Schreiner-overview-2010.pdf>
18. Tai, R. H., Liu, C. Q., Maltese, A. V., & Fan, X. (2006). Planning early for careers in science. *Science*, *312*, 1143–1144.
19. Tytler, R., & Osborne, J. (2012). *Student attitudes and aspirations towards science*. In B. J. Fraser, K. Tobin, & C. J. McRobbie (Eds.), *Second International Handbook of Science Education* (pp. 597–625). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
20. Wong, B. (2012). Identifying with Science: A case study of two 13-year-old “high achieving working class” British Asian girls. *International Journal of Science Education*, *34*(1), 43–65.
21. Wong, B. (2015). Careers “from” but not “in” science: Why are aspirations to be a scientist challenging for minority ethnic students? *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *52*(7), 979–1002.

Analysis of Graduate Satisfaction and Experience with Higher Education Services in a Ghanaian University

Ibrahim Alhassan, MBA

Elias N. K. Sowley, PhD

Richard Nalarb Yakubu, M.Sc

University of Development Studies,

Directorate of Academic Planning and Quality Assurance

Bawah A. Kassim, M.A

University of Development Studies, Training and Development Unit

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v5no4a2

[URL:http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/ejes.v5no4a2](http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/ejes.v5no4a2)

Abstract

The paper examines the satisfaction and experiences of graduates of the University for Development Studies (UDS) with a range of academic and co-curricular services provided to them at the time they were being trained. The data for the study were collected using a self-designed structured questionnaire administered to 1000 graduands who graduated during the 18th Congregation of the University. With the retrieval of a usable 601 questionnaires, representing about 60% response rate, the data were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25.0 for windows. The results of the study indicate that the graduates were very satisfied with the general conduct of operations in the University and most of them were willing to further their education in the University. They were however, quite dissatisfied with the existing health services and facilities, sporting facilities and the general security situation on the various Campuses of the University. Recommendations and implications for Management of the University have been discussed. The paper contributes to knowledge in the area of quality assurance of higher education services.

Keywords: Education, quality, students, satisfaction, services.

Introduction

The movement towards mass participation in Higher Education (HE) has become a global phenomenon. This is due to the assertion that HE has the tendency to reduce social inequalities to the barest minimum (European

Commission/EACEA/Eurydice, 2013) and increase the growth of the economy (Ali, Zhou, Hussain, Nair & Ragavan, 2016). Access to education has become a global human right following the Universal Declaration of Human Rights of 1948 which assert that elementary education shall be free and compulsory, and that the higher levels of education will be equally available to all, on the basis of merit (Ghana Statistical Service & Macro International Inc., 1999). Therefore, access to HE reaffirms an individual's right to education. Although HE is supposed to be accessible to all, quality in HE service provision should not be compromised to compensate for its accessibility. Quality should be central in the operations of higher education institutions (HEIs). Higher education institutions should be known for quality and excellence, equity, responsiveness, effective and efficient provision of services, and alongside with good governance and excellent management of resources in the conduct of its business as institutions for knowledge acquisition (Chacha, 2002).

Many governments, including that of Ghana are concerned about the quality of services provided by their HEIs. In Ghana, the government has established the National Accreditation Board (NAB), following the passage of the Provisional National Defense Council Law 317, 1993 (PNDC Law 317, 1993), to ensure that quality assurance is entrenched in the tertiary education sector. This law was later amended by an Act of Parliament culminating in the current NAB Act 744, 2007 which retained the earlier provisions and offered additional responsibility to the Board to lead in all quality assurance matters in the tertiary landscape of Ghana. Besides NAB, the National Council for Tertiary Education (NCTE) also considers the relevance of academic programmes to national development (www.ncte.edu.gh/nctesite/index.php, retrieved 28th August, 2018). This is because the government, as a major stakeholder in education, is concerned with churning out graduates that meet the needs of industry and are capable of turning the fortunes of the country.

In this regard, meeting the needs and expectations of the customers (students) has compelled government to view quality in HE as an obligation to all stakeholders. The demand for provision of quality educational service does not only come from satisfied learners but also from their parents and benefactors who have to bear the expenditures of their education (Rajab et al., 2011). The parents and benefactors are more concerned with the processes learners go through before graduating, and the indicator of success to them, depends on the satisfaction of services offered by HEIs (Berry and Parasuraman, 1991, cited in Rajab et al., 2011). Dean and Gibbs (2015), are of the opinion that the advent of tuition fees will compel universities to act as “service providers” and hence, be responsive to students in line with the requirements of consumers as they expect “value for money” akin to the market setting.

From the foregoing, feedback from graduating students on their satisfaction and experience with the quality of educational services from HEIs is legitimate and strategic for institutional improvement. It is in line with this thinking that this study was conducted. The overarching objective of the study is to evaluate the satisfaction and experiences of graduates of the UDS who graduated during the 2016/2017 academic year, with a range of academic and co-curricular services provided to them when they were being trained. It is envisaged that the outcome of such survey could lead to the enrichment of quality of academic programmes and practices in order to meet the current and future needs of the various stakeholders of the University. The survey therefore assessed graduates' satisfaction and experiences in relation to both curricular (reading materials and recommended textbooks, course outlines, access to internet, etc.) and other support services (facilities of health, sports, accommodation and so on).

Literature Review

Universities all over the world produce two categories of products: “educational programmes in the market of educational services and graduates for the labour market” (Belash et al., 2015, p.345). The nature of the operations of universities and their linkage to their products are intertwined such that students are direct customers of the educational services and after completing their studies, become the products in the labour market. Therefore, the quality of HE received by students would be a reflection of the quality of graduates in the labour market (Belash et al., 2015).

The concept of students' satisfaction with the range of services offered by HEIs has gained momentum in recent years in the quest for quality of higher education (Garcia-Aracil, 2014; Temizer & Turkyilmaz, 2012). Harvey (2001, p.4) opined that “institution-wide student feedback about the quality of their total educational experience is an area of growing activity in higher education institutions around the world”. Similar authors (Ivana & Dragan, 2014; Uysal, 2015) have argued that measuring students' and/or graduates' satisfaction with services offered by HEIs would assist those institutions build on their strengths and identify areas that might need improvement. Cardona and Bravo (2012), argued that it is a legitimate question to find out how students feel with the quality of academic and administrative services provided to them by HEIs. Hanapi and Nordin (2014), also posit that education, characterized by good quality, is needed in the achievement of customers' satisfaction and students who are primary customers of educational services are entitled to quality of higher education. One of the many approaches of examining the satisfaction and the experiences of graduates of HEIs is the Graduate Exit Survey (GES).

Graduate Exit Survey is an integral part of assessing the quality of HEIs through feedback from graduating students in many universities in the developed and transition countries. For instance, GES has been extensively used to collect information from graduating students in many HEIs such as the University of Western Sydney, Central Queensland University, George Mason University, San Francisco State University, and University of Wisconsin Madison as well as Asian universities including Universiti Putra Malaysia, University Kebangsaan Malaysia and Tehran University of Medical Sciences (Konting, Kamaruddin & Man, 2009; Othman et al., 2011).

Graduate Exit Survey is a method of collecting information on the quality of graduate education from the perspective of graduating students, upon completion of their degree programmes (Azah, Fatimah, & Abdul-Halim, 2012). In other words, GES is a method by which an educational institution deliberately solicits feedback from its graduating students, at the end of their training, on their overall experiences and satisfaction of the institution's services provided to them while they were undergoing their training. GES is therefore a summative evaluation in nature; as opposed to other assessment approaches such as students' assessment of courses and lecturers, which is conducted while the students are still undergoing training.

Materials and Methods

Research design, population and sampling

The researchers used the survey technique for the study. The survey technique is favoured over other techniques especially in a research context where the study population is large and when uniformity, anonymity and objectivity in responses are of essence; and particularly when the data gathered is to be analyzed quantitatively using descriptive and/or inferential statistics. In addition, data collected using a survey strategy can be used to suggest possible reasons for particular relationships between variables and produce models of these relationships (Ibrahim, Mavis, & Kassim, 2018). The researchers, therefore, deemed it fit to use the survey technique because of the large number of graduands (the study population), the need for uniformity, anonymity and objectivity of the responses.

The target population consisted of 5,565 graduating students from the various Faculties/Schools of the University for the 2016/217 Academic Session. A convenient sample size of 1000 graduands were selected from the total population of 5,565 graduands. Data were gathered using a self-designed questionnaire administered to 1000 graduands at the Wa and the Tamale Campuses of the University on the 11th and 18th November, 2017 respectively.

Data Collection Instrument

The questionnaire was divided into five sections. Section 'A' had four items and focused on respondents' demographic characteristics and section 'B' (8 items) solicited responses on graduands' academic information such as level of entry, diplomas and degrees obtained as well as classes of bachelor's degrees obtained. Section 'C', with 27 items, focused on measuring graduands' level of satisfaction on academic gains, teaching and learning, health services, security, sports and accommodation. Out of the 27 items in this section, 2 were closed ended and 5 were open ended. The remaining items (20) used a five point Likert scale where respondents were required to state their level of agreement with the satisfaction level for each item using "1 = poor; 2 = fair; 3 = average; 4 = Good; 5 = excellent". Section 'D' consisted of six (6) items and focused on students' results administration, administrative support and graduands willingness to pursue further studies in the University and recommend it to relatives and friends after graduation. Section 'E' (3 items) solicited information on graduands' employability status.

Data Analysis

Out of the 1000 questionnaires administered, 850 questionnaires were retrieved. Prior to the analysis of the data, the questionnaire items were scrutinized for accuracy and usefulness. Eventually, a usable 601 questionnaires, representing about 60% response rate were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25.0 for windows. Data were coded and entered into SPSS for analysis. Responses on the open ended items (qualitative data) were grouped into themes and coded to facilitate quantitative analysis. Theming qualitative responses for purposes of quantitative analysis are encouraged in a research context where the sample size is large and where the individual responses can be grouped into five (or less) themes.

As a result of the quantitative nature of the data, some items in the questionnaire were either missing or not answered. The researchers have duly reported all missing data in the paper. Missing data, as posit by Dong and Peng (2013) is a rule rather than an exception in the case of quantitative research. However, this did not affect the overall validity and reliability of the results of the paper.

Findings and Discussions

The analysis, presentation and discussion of results are in three parts. Analysis of demographic characteristics of respondents, graduands' evaluation of their academic programmes as well as evaluation of the University in terms of students' results administration, administrative support

services and graduands' continues affiliation with the University; even after graduation.

Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

Among the 601 respondents, 73.4% of them were males and 23.3% were females. About eighty-seven percent of them (86.7%) were between the ages of 20 to 29 years old. Students from the Ashanti Region were the majority (14.8%) as compared to their counterparts from other regions of Ghana. Almost all the respondents (95%) were Ghanaians and 0.3% accounted for other nationals. More than half (52.9%) of the respondents stayed in private hostels while only 9.3% resided in the University's Hostels. The rest of the respondents (24.8%) lived in rented accommodation.

About half (50.9%) of the respondents were mainstreamed graduands. Whereas 31.8% of them were Final Year Non-Graduating (FNG) graduands, only 10.3% of them were ladderees or top-up graduands. Final Year Non-Graduating graduands are graduands who were supposed to have graduated a year or two earlier but for reasons such as trails in courses, repetitions and delays (because of other reasons) in completing the programme they could not graduate. Ladderees or top-up graduands are those who had some level of foundation in the programme pursued either because they had completed diploma or they had some level of working experiences and were therefore admitted into the University to pursue the programme at Level 200.

Eighty four percent (84%) of the graduands graduated with bachelor's degree. The rest graduated with either a diploma (6.7%) or a postgraduate degree (4.7%). In terms of class, majority (63.2%) of the graduates got second class honours (upper division) in their bachelor's degree (Appendix).

Academic Programme Evaluation

A reasonable percentage (39.4%) of the graduands responded 'good' in terms of meeting their expectations on the various study programmes they pursued. They also rated their programme of study as being good (41.8%) with respect to recent trends and development in their various fields of study. Some (35.1%) of the respondents maintained that the quality of course instruction in their various programmes was satisfactory. A good number of them (29.3%) were also satisfied with the availability of course outline for each course of their programmes. Availability of reading list or recommended text books recorded 26% "good" response. Many (26.1%) of the respondents provided an "average" response which suggest that they were quite dissatisfied with the University's existing laboratory facilities, health services and facilities, sporting activities and facilities as well as the general security situation in the University (Table 1).

Table 1: Detailed Presentation of Findings on Academic Programme Evaluation

Academic Programme Evaluation								
S/N	Items	Responses						Total
		Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Non-response	
1	Where your expectations met in the study programme	29 (4.8)	77 (12.8)	161 (26.8)	39.4 (237)	69 (11.5)	28 (4.7)	601 (100)
2	How will you rate your programme with respect to recent trends & development in your field of study	22 (3.7)	74 (12.3)	156 (26.0)	251 (41.8)	69 (11.4)	29 (4.8)	601 (100)
3	Overall, how will you rate the quality of course instruction in your programme	31 (5.2)	89 (14.8)	184 (30.6)	211 (35.1)	61 (10.1)	25 (4.2)	601 (100)
4	Availability of course outline for each course	60 (10.0)	128(21.3)	155 (25.8)	176 (29.3)	57 (9.5)	25 (4.2)	601 (100)
5	Availability of reading list or recommended text books	110 (18.3)	130 (21.6)	151 (25.1)	156 (26.0)	29 (4.8)	25 (4.2)	601 (100)
6	Effective use of Information Communication Technology	122 (20.3)	139 (23.1)	157 (26.1)	124 (20.6)	34 (5.7)	25(4.2)	601 (100)
7	Time table scheduling	106 (17.6)	140 (23.3)	172 (28.6)	119 (19.8)	38 (6.3)	26 (4.3)	601 (100)
8	Availability of tools and equipments for practical lessons	141 (23.5)	145 (24.1)	151 (25.1)	107(17.8)	30 (5.0)	27 (4.5)	601 (100)
9	Availability of online teaching and learning resources	129 (21.5)	133 (22.1)	159 (26.5)	115 (19.1)	34 (5.1)	31 (5.2)	601 (100)
10	Access to lecturers	79 (13.1)	110 (18.3)	197 (32.8)	146 (24.3)	41 (6.8)	28 (4.7)	601 (100)
11	Availability of counselling services	116 (19.3)	157 (26.1)	159 (26.5)	111 (18.5)	28 (4.7)	30 (5.0)	601 (100)
12	Adequate laboratory facilities	153 (25.5)	157 (26.1)	144 (24.0)	86 (14.3)	29 (4.8)	32 (5.3)	601 (100)
Health, Security and Sports Evaluation								
S/N	Items	Responses						Total
		Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Non-response	
1	There was provision for my health needs on campus	130 (21.6)	180 (30.0)	139 (23.1)	88 (14.6)	32 (5.3)	32 (5.3)	601 (100)
2	Health facilities provided the services that promoted good academic work	135 (22.5)	170 (28.3)	143 (23.8)	75 (12.5)	29 (4.8)	49 (8.2)	601 (100)
3	How do you evaluate the security situation on campus	131 (21.8)	179 (29.8)	162 (27.0)	61 (10.1)	17 (2.8)	51 (8.5)	601 (100)
4	There were adequate sports facilities on campus	156 (26.0)	169 (28.1)	144 (24.0)	51 (8.5)	21 (3.5)	60 (10.0)	601 (100)
5	Engagement in sports enhanced my academic work	144 (24.0)	183 (30.4)	142 (23.6)	57 (9.5)	22 (3.7)	53 (8.8)	601 (100)
6	Academic calendar allowed easy engagement in sports	148 (24.6)	193 (32.1)	129 (21.5)	57 (9.5)	16 (2.7)	58 (9.7)	601 (100)

Source: Field Survey, November 2017

*Percentages are in brackets

University's Evaluation

Supportive from Staff and Challenges during Registration of Courses

Majority (77.2%) of the respondents indicated that the University's staff were friendly and supportive while the rest (18.8%) held the opposite view. Most (79.2%) of them indicated that they did not face challenges during the registration of courses of their respective programmes for each trimester (Figure 1).




Figure 1: Staff being supportive & Challenges during registration of courses

Graduands' willingness to further their education in UDS

Graduands were asked to choose their willingness to further their studies in UDS. Majority of them (72.9%) said they would prefer UDS if they qualified for further studies. About nineteen percent of them (19.3%), representing 116, said they will not further their education in the University. Out of this figure, 10% of them said they prefer to have experience in a different educational environment while 5.3% of them said that their programmes of choice for further studies are not run by the University. Almost three percent (2.8%) of them said they were quite dissatisfied with the services provided to them by the UDS when they were pursuing their individual programmes. Those who did not provide any reason for not wanting to further their education in the University was only 1.2% (Figure 2).




Figure 2: Graduands asked whether they will like to further their education in UDS

Graduands asked whether they will recommend UDS to a friend/relative to pursue a programme

Graduands were asked to indicate their agreement or disagreement with the statement “would you recommend the University to a friend/relative to pursue a programme?” To this statement, majority of them (69.1%) answered affirmative while 27% of them said no. When asked why they will not recommend the University to others, 7.8% of them said they were dissatisfied with the services provided by the University while 4.2% of them believe that pursuance of their programmes in the University were difficult the effect of which reflected in their performance during examinations. Others (4.7%) said the University’s teaching staff were not friendly. Majority of them (9.2%) attributed their decision not to recommend the University to others to poor administration of students’ academic results. Still, 0.6% of them have not given reason(s) why they will not recommend the University to others (Figure 3).




Figure 2: Graduands asked whether they will recommend UDS to a friend/relative

What would you like the University to do differently?

Figure 4 presents the opinion of respondents about what they would like the University to do differently from what they experienced when there were students. In this regard, most of them (44 %) said they would like the University to improve upon its Information Communication Technology (ICT) infrastructure to allow for increase speed and accuracy in processing and retrieval of students' academic records.




Figure 3: What would you like the University to do differently

Conclusion and Recommendations

The study examined the level of satisfaction and experiences of the graduates of the UDS with respect to academic and co-curricular services provided by the University. The outcome of the survey is intended to assist the Management of the University to review and enrich the services provided and to improve upon the quality of the educational programmes so as to meet the expectations of the clientele. It was found out that the graduands were generally satisfied with the programmes of study and other co-curricular services of the University. They were however, quite dissatisfied with the inadequate health facilities and poor services. The sporting activities and facilities also received poor rating by the respondents. The general security situation on the various Campuses of the University were considered to be unsatisfactory. It is therefore, recommended that the University should improve on the exiting health and sports facilities, ICT infrastructure, construct new lecture halls and renovate existing ones, beef up security services on the various campuses, and improve student access to University residential facilities since these facilities and services were poorly rated.

References:

1. Adela Garcia-Aracil (2014). "Are graduates well-equipped for the labour market?," *Investigaciones de Economía de la Educación volume*

- 9, in: Adela García Aracil & Isabel Neira Gómez (ed.), *Investigaciones de Economía de la Educación 9*, edition 1, *volume 9*, chapter 42, pp. 817-829 Asociación de Economía de la Educación.
2. Ali, F., Zhou, Y., Hussain, K., Nair, P. K., & Ragavan, N. A. (2016). "Does higher education service quality effect student satisfaction, image and loyalty?: A study of international students in Malaysian public universities", *Quality Assurance in Education*, Vol. 24 Issue: 1, pp.70-94, <https://doi.org/10.1108/QAE-02-2014-0008>.
 3. Azah, M., Fatimah, S., & Abdul-Halim, I. (2012). Graduate students' perspectives on study environment based on exit. *Canadian Center of Science and Education*, 8.
 4. Belash, O., Popov, M., Ryzhov, N., Ryaskov, Y., Shaposhnikov, S. & Shestopalov, M. (2015). Research on university education quality assurance: Methodology and results of stakeholders' satisfaction monitoring. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 214 pp. 344 – 358. Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
 5. Cardona, M. M. & Bravo, J. J. (2012). Service quality perceptions in higher education institutions: The case of a Colombian university. www.elsevier.es/estudios_gerenciales
 6. Chacha, N. C. (2002). Public universities, private funding: The challenges in East Africa. A paper presented during the international symposium on African universities in the 21st Century at University of Illinois Urban Champaign 25th-27th April, 2002.
 7. Chui, T. B., Ahmad, M. S., Bassim, F. A. & Zaimi, N. A. (2016). Evaluation of service quality of private higher education using service improvement matrix. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 224 pp. 132 – 140. Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
 8. Dean, A. & Gibbs, P. (2015). "Student satisfaction or happiness: A preliminary rethink of what is important in the student experience", *Quality Assurance in Education*, Vol. 23 Issue: 1, pp.5-19, <https://doi.org/10.1108/QAE-10-2013-0044>
 9. Dong, Y. & Peng, C-Y. J. (2013). Principled missing data method for researchers. *Springer Open Journal*, pp. 1-17.
 10. European Commission/EACEA/Eurydice (2013). Education and training in Europe 2020: Responses from the EU Member States. Eurydice Report; Brussels: Eurydice, Available online: http://eacea.ec.europa.eu/education/eurydice/documents/thematic_reports/163EN.pdf (accessed on 12 February 2018).
 11. Ghana Statistical Service and Macro International Inc. (1999). 1998 Ghana demographic and health survey. Calverton, Maryland: *GSS and MI*.

12. Hanapi, Z. & Nordin, M. S. (2014). Unemployment among Malaysia graduates: Graduates' attributes, lecturers' competency and quality of education. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 112 pp.1056 – 1063. Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
13. Harvey, L. (2001). Student feedback: A Report to the Higher Education Funding Council for England. Research report, Centre for Research into Quality, The University of Central England, Birmingham, United Kingdom.
14. Ibrahim, A., Mavis, A-G. & Kassim, A. B. (2018). Factors Affecting the Adoption of ICT by Administrators in. *International Journal of Sustainability Management and Information Technologies*, 4, pp. 1-9. doi:10.11648/j.ijsmi.20180401.11
15. Ivanaa, D. & Dragan, M. (2014). Challenges and implications in assessing graduates' satisfaction in an international study program. *Procedia Economics and Finance* 16 pp. 104 – 109
16. Jalili, M., Mirzazadeh, A. & Azarpira, A. (2008). A survey of medical students' perceptions of the quality of their medical education upon graduation. *Annals Academy of Medicine*, 32, pp. 1012-1018.
17. Konting, M. M., Kamaruddin, N. & Man, N. A. (2009). Quality assurance in higher education institutions: Exist survey among Universiti Putra Malaysia graduating students. *International Education Studies*, pp. 25-32.
18. National Accreditation Board (2018). Homepage. www.nab.gov.gh/nabsite/index.php
19. National Council for Tertiary Education (2018). Homepage. www.ncte.edu.gh/nctesite/index.php
20. National Council for Tertiary Education Act, 1993 (Act 454)
21. Orindarua, A. (2015). Changing perspectives on students in higher education. *Procedia Economics and Finance* 27 pp. 682 – 691. Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
22. Othman, N. T. A., Misonon, R., Abdullah, S. R. S., Kofli, N. T., Kamarudin, S. K., & Mohamad, A. B. (2011). Assessment of programme outcomes through exit survey of chemical/biochemical engineering students. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, pp. 39-48.
23. Rajab, A., Panatik, S. A., Rahman, A, Rahman, H. A., Shaari, R. & Saat, M. (2011). Service quality in a research university: A post-graduate perspective. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 29 pp. 1830 – 1838.
24. Temizer, L. & Turkyilmaz, A. (2012). Implementation of Student Satisfaction index model in higher education institutions. *Procedia -*

Social and Behavioral Sciences 46 pp. 3802 – 3806. Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

25. Uysal, F. (2015). Evaluation of the factors that determine quality in graduate education: Application of a satisfaction benchmarking approach. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 191 pp. 1034 – 1037. Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Appendix

Demographic characteristics of respondents		
Gender		
	Frequency	Percent
Male	441	73.4
Female	140	23.3
Missing	20	3.3
Age		
20 – 29 Years	521	86.7
30 – 39 Years	44	7.3
40 – 49 Years	11	1.8
50 – 59 Years	2	0.3
60+ Years	1	0.2
Missing	22	3.7
Region		
Upper East	67	11.1
Upper West	72	12.0
Northern	86	14.3
Brong Ahafo	29	4.8
Ashanti Region	89	14.8
Western	44	7.3
Eastern	54	9.0
Central	30	5.0
Volta	58	9.7
Greater Accra	47	7.8
Missing	25	4.2
Nationality		
Ghanaian	577	95
Not Ghanaian	2	0.3
Missing	22	3.7
Residence		
Campus Hostel	56	9.3
Private Hostel	318	52.9
Rented Accommodation	149	24.8
Other	9	1.5
Miss	30	5.0
Year Enrolled		
2013/14 Academic Session	306	50.9
Before 2013/14 Academic Session	191	31.8
After 2013/14 Academic Session	62	10.3
Missing	42	7.0
Degree Obtained		
Diploma	40	6.7
Bachelor	505	84.0
Master	28	4.7
Missing	28	4.7
Class Obtained (Bachelors Only)		
1 st Class Division	16	2.7
2 nd Class Upper Division	380	63.2
2 nd Class Lower Division	133	22.1
3 rd Class Division	5	0.8
Pass	39	3.2
Missing	28	4.7

Source: Field Survey, November 2017