# **Analysing Sport Spectator Identification Levels of University Students with Their Favorite Team**

# Cagrı Ilk

Acıbadem Mehmet Ali Aydınlar University, Sports Sciences Faculty, Turkey

Cemal Guler

Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa/ Sports Sciences Faculty, Turkey

Doi:10.19044/ejes.v7no2a3 <u>URL:http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/ejes.v7no2a3</u>

### **Abstract**

The aim of the study is to determine the sport spectator identification level of the sport students with the teams they support. A total of 225 students at Acibadem University, 74.7% women and 25.3% men, participated in the study voluntarily. The "Sport Spectator Identification Scale" developed by Wann and Branscombe (1993) was administered as the data collection instrument of the study. The validity and reliability study in Turkish was completed by Günay and Tiryaki (2003). The scale is in Likert-type which is scored between 1 and 8 and has a single dimension consisting of 7 items. Scores were represented as 1= not important, and 8= very important, in which high scores signified high identification levels. The internal consistency of the scale (Cronbach Alpha) was .93. Shapiro Wilk test was applied to identify the normal distribution of the data and a non-normal distribution was found. In the analysis of the data, Mann Whitney U test was applied for gender, and Kruskal Wallis test was used for age, monthly income, class and teams they support. To the research results, while there was a significant difference in the identification level of university students with the teams they support, in terms of gender, class, teams and matches they follow, no meaningful difference was found for age and monthly income variables.

**Keywords:** Team identification, sport fans, university students.

#### Introduction

In a Latin root, sport word is derived from the word *disporte* which means separating from each other and scattering. In time, the word has transformed and gained its final form as sport (Talimciler, 2010). If sport is defined as physical activities based on institutionalized competition spirit, the components of institutionalization should be explained. The rules in sport are

specified and shaped by official institutions rather than by the expressions of random individuals, personal interests, hobbies or certain instantaneous approaches (Tükenmez, 2011).

When and how sport began has always been a topic of discussion. Sport scientists divide ancient sportive activities into two categories as 'game-Sport scientists divide ancient sportive activities into two categories as 'game-based' and 'war-based' sport. In this sense, it is likely to say that the roots of sport date back to the period of the first runner, jumper or wrestler. Sport historians deal with sport as a work-based activity rather than a game, and consider spear-throwing as the first sportive activity in history (Çakır, 2017).

Sport can be defined as a process in which mankind combines skills and war methods against nature -with or without tools- and, it is used for playing and distracting from work, individually or collectively (Fişek, 1998).

The first sports activity organizations in history can be seen in Ancient Greece. And, the first recorded Olympic Games were held in 776 BC for Zeus (Durgun, 2007).

Sport is a platform that the entire world utilizes through gathering individuals from different social backgrounds and enabling billions of people to meet in the same environment (Donuk, 2016). It is possible to mention about numerous definitions of sport. When the common aspects of all the definitions are considered, sport is an activity or phenomenon that requires both physical power and skill, is performed on the basis of certain rules and ensures socializing. As the amount of leisure time today has increased, the motive to move has emerged. Sport is acknowledged as an indicator that affects all the aspects of social life and a sign of the welfare of the individuals (Yetim, 2000). Sport fans are the individuals who support sports, athletes or teams and

follow the games. Sport spectator represents the individuals who follow, listen and watch sport events and games (Wann, 1997). Sport spectators act based on winning or losing in a game. They have a high sense of belonging feeling for the team or sport player. Participation in sport takes place in two ways, as active and passive. Active participation refers to the direct involvement of individuals to a specific sport branch. However, passive participation stands for the case in which an individual takes the spectator role in a sport game. The researchers stated that being a sport spectator is a passive participation The researchers stated that being a sport spectator is a passive participation and equal to laziness, as it is not comparable to active participation (Jacobson, 2003). The popularization of sport and its practice by the larger audiences have also contributes the productions of various sport equipment. Specifically, the increasing number of spectators in professional competitions and the ticket prices have lead the sport industry to be an independent sector (Çakır, 2017).

Team identification concept can be explained with spectators' psychological attachment to a team. An individual becomes happy if the supported team wins, or vice versa (Güllü and Güçlü, 2006). In terms of social relationships, identification is the sense of integration or belonging to a certain

relationships, identification is the sense of integration or belonging to a certain

team (Parker, 2007). Spectators see themselves as a part of their team. In recent years, individuals' psychological attachment to a team has drawn the attention of the sport scientists (Wann and Branscombe, 1993; Wann et al., 2001). Additionally, commitment to a team which is of great importance for sport marketers plays a direct or indirect role on sport consumption through participation to team events and purchasing products (Fisher, 1998; Kwonand Armstrong, 2006; Madrigal, 2000; Matsuoka et al., 2003; Theodorakis et al., 2009; Wakefield, 1995). The fans do their best to support their team by participating in group activities and acting in unison. These behaviors are thought to make sport spectator different from others. Thus, a higher number of sport spectators reinforce the strength of the team (Demirkan, 1991).

An identity acquisition or identification through participating in a

An identity acquisition or identification through participating in a group activity is of positive effects on the individual. Identification with society can result in such outcomes. The disappointed individuals can find the chance to change themselves by participating in a group (Hoffer, 2007). Within this context, this study aims to analyze the identification level of university students with their teams based on certain demographic variables

## **Methods and Findings**

The study examines university students' identification levels with the sport teams they support. The study sample consisted of 168 female (74.7%) and 57 male (25.3%) students between 16-28 years old at the undergraduate level at Acıbadem University. The Participants were selected and participated in the research on random and a voluntary basis. The data collection instruments were designated as *Personal Information Form* with gender, age, monthly income, class, the favorite team and watching game status variables and the *Sport Spectator Identification Scale - SSIS* which was developed by Wann and Branscombe (1993). The validity and reliability, and translation studies of the instrument were conducted by Güney and Tiryaki (2003). It is a Likert-type scale scored between 1 and 8 points, and consists of 1 dimension and 7 items. The scores are represented between 1 and 8-1= not important, I don't like it, 8= very important, I like it- and high scores signified high identification levels. IBM Statistics 25.0 package program was used in data analysis. The internal consistency of the instrument (Cronbach Alpha) was .93. Shapiro Wilk test was applied to determine the normal distribution of the data, and to the test results, a non-normal distribution was found. Hence, Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal Wallis tests were applied.

**Table 1** The distribution of the participants' personal information

Female	Table 1 The distribution	of the participants	personal inform	ation
Gender       Male       57       25,3         16-18 year-olds       57       25,3         19-21 year-olds       133       59,1         Age       22-24 year-olds       19       8,4         25-27 year-olds       6       2,7         28 years and-older       10       4,4         older       0-500 TL       84       37,3         501-1000 TL       76       33,8         Monthly Income       1001-1500 TL       36       16,0         1501-2000 TL       9       4,0         2001 TL and       20       8,9         more       1st Grade       132       58,7         2nd Grade       79       35,1         Grades       3rd Grade       9       4,0         4th Grade       5       2,2         Beşiktaş       39       17,3         Fenerbahçe       88       39,1         The Supported Team       Galatasaray       82       36,4         Trabzonspor       4       1,8         Other       12       5,3         Follow       54       24,0         Regularly       Follow       99       44,0 <t< th=""><th>Variables</th><th></th><th>N</th><th><b>%</b></th></t<>	Variables		N	<b>%</b>
16-18 year-olds   57   25,3   19-21 year-olds   133   59,1   22-24 year-olds   19   8,4   25-27 year-olds   6   2,7   28 years and   10   4,4   older   0-500 TL   84   37,3   501-1000 TL   76   33,8   Monthly Income   1001-1500 TL   36   16,0   1501-2000 TL   9   4,0   2001 TL   and   20   8,9   more   1st   Grade   79   35,1   Grades   3rd   Grade   79   35,1   Grade   5   2,2   Beşiktaş   39   17,3   Fenerbahçe   88   39,1   The Supported Team   Galatasaray   82   36,4   Trabzonspor   4   1,8   Other   12   5,3   Follow   54   24,0   Regularly   Follow   54   24,0   Regularly   Follow   99   44,0   Following   the Sometimes   Matches   Do not Follow   72   32,0		Female	168	74,7
19-21 year-olds   133   59,1	Gender	Male	57	25,3
Age       22-24 year-olds 6 2,7         28 years and-older       10 4,4         0-500 TL 84 37,3         501-1000 TL 76 33,8         Monthly Income       1001-1500 TL 36 16,0         1501-2000 TL 9 4,0         2001 TL and 20 8,9         more       1st Grade 132 58,7         2nd Grade 79 35,1         Grades       3rd Grade 9 4,0         4th Grade 5 2,2         Beşiktaş 39 17,3         Fenerbahçe 88 39,1         The Supported Team Galatasaray 82 36,4         Trabzonspor 4 1,8         Other 12 5,3         Follow 54 24,0         Regularly Follow 99 44,0         Following the Sometimes         Matches       Do not Follow 72 32,0		16-18 year-olds	57	25,3
25-27 year-olds   6   2,7   28 years and   10   4,4   older   0-500 TL   84   37,3   501-1000 TL   76   33,8   Monthly Income   1001-1500 TL   36   16,0   1501-2000 TL   9   4,0   2001 TL and   20   8,9   more   1st Grade   132   58,7   2nd Grade   79   35,1   Grades   3rd Grade   9   4,0   4th Grade   5   2,2   Beşiktaş   39   17,3   Fenerbahçe   88   39,1   The Supported Team   Galatasaray   82   36,4   Trabzonspor   4   1,8   Other   12   5,3   Follow   54   24,0   Regularly   Follow   99   44,0   Following   the Sometimes   Matches   Do not Follow   72   32,0		19-21 year-olds	133	59,1
28 years and   10	Age	22-24 year-olds	19	8,4
Nonthly Income		25-27 year-olds	6	2,7
Monthly Income		28 years and-	10	4,4
Monthly Income       501-1000 TL 76 33,8 16,0 16,0 1501-2000 TL 9 4,0 2001 TL and 20 8,9 more         Ist Grade 132 58,7 2nd Grade 79 35,1 Grades       3rd Grade 9 4,0 4,0 4th Grade 5 2,2 Beşiktaş 39 17,3 Fenerbahçe 88 39,1 Trabzonspor 4 1,8 Other 12 5,3 Follow 54 24,0 Regularly Follow 99 44,0 Regularly Follow 99 44,0 Tollow 99 44,0 T		older		
Monthly Income       1001-1500 TL       36       16,0         1501-2000 TL       9       4,0         2001 TL and       20       8,9         more         1st Grade       132       58,7         2nd Grade       79       35,1         Grades       3rd Grade       9       4,0         4th Grade       5       2,2         Beşiktaş       39       17,3         Fenerbahçe       88       39,1         The Supported Team       Galatasaray       82       36,4         Trabzonspor       4       1,8         Other       12       5,3         Follow       54       24,0         Regularly       Follow       99       44,0         Following       the       Sometimes         Matches       Do not Follow       72       32,0		0-500 TL	84	37,3
1501-2000 TL   9   4,0		501-1000 TL	76	33,8
Composite   Comp	<b>Monthly Income</b>	1001-1500 TL	36	16,0
More   1st Grade   132   58,7		1501-2000 TL	9	4,0
1st Grade   132   58,7     2nd Grade   79   35,1     3rd Grade   9   4,0     4th Grade   5   2,2     Beşiktaş   39   17,3     Fenerbahçe   88   39,1     The Supported Team   Galatasaray   82   36,4     Trabzonspor   4   1,8     Other   12   5,3     Follow   54   24,0     Regularly     Follow   99   44,0     Following   the Sometimes     Matches   Do not Follow   72   32,0		<b>2001</b> TL and	20	8,9
Grades       2nd Grade Grade Grade 9 4,0         3rd Grade 4th Grade 5 2,2       39 17,3         Beşiktaş 39 17,3       39,1         Fenerbahçe 88 39,1       39,1         The Supported Team Galatasaray 82 36,4       36,4         Trabzonspor 4 1,8       36,4         Trabzonspor 12 5,3       50         Follow 54 24,0       24,0         Regularly Follow 99 44,0         Following the Matches Do not Follow 72 32,0		more		
Grades         3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade 4 <sup>th</sup> Grade 5         9         4,0           4 <sup>th</sup> Grade 5         2,2         Beşiktaş 39         17,3           Fenerbahçe 88         39,1         39,1           The Supported Team Galatasaray 82         36,4         36,4           Trabzonspor 4         1,8         36,4           Trabzonspor 54         12         5,3         5,3           Follow 74         54         24,0         24,0         24,0           Regularly Follow 99         44,0			132	58,7
4th Grade       5       2,2         Beşiktaş       39       17,3         Fenerbahçe       88       39,1         Galatasaray       82       36,4         Trabzonspor       4       1,8         Other       12       5,3         Follow       54       24,0         Regularly         Follow       99       44,0         Following       Sometimes         Matches       Do not Follow       72       32,0			79	*
Beşiktaş       39       17,3         Fenerbahçe       88       39,1         Galatasaray       82       36,4         Trabzonspor       4       1,8         Other       12       5,3         Follow       54       24,0         Regularly       Follow       99       44,0         Following       the       Sometimes         Matches       Do not Follow       72       32,0	Grades			4,0
The Supported Team         Fenerbahçe         88         39,1           Galatasaray         82         36,4           Trabzonspor         4         1,8           Other         12         5,3           Follow         54         24,0           Regularly         Follow         99         44,0           Following         the         Sometimes           Matches         Do not Follow         72         32,0		4 <sup>th</sup> Grade	5	2,2
The Supported Team         Galatasaray         82         36,4           Trabzonspor         4         1,8           Other         12         5,3           Follow         54         24,0           Regularly         Follow         99         44,0           Following         the         Sometimes           Matches         Do not Follow         72         32,0		Beşiktaş	39	17,3
Trabzonspor 4 1,8 Other 12 5,3 Follow 54 24,0 Regularly Follow 99 44,0 Following the Sometimes Matches Do not Follow 72 32,0		Fenerbahçe	88	39,1
Other       12       5,3         Follow       54       24,0         Regularly       Follow       99       44,0         Following       the Sometimes         Matches       Do not Follow       72       32,0	The Supported Team	Galatasaray		36,4
Follow 54 24,0 Regularly Follow 99 44,0 Following the Sometimes Matches Do not Follow 72 32,0		Trabzonspor	4	1,8
Regularly Follow 99 44,0  Following the Sometimes Matches Do not Follow 72 32,0		Other	12	5,3
Following the Sometimes Matches Do not Follow 72 32,0		Follow	54	24,0
Following the Sometimes Matches Do not Follow 72 32,0		Regularly		
Matches Do not Follow 72 32,0			99	44,0
	O			
<b>Total</b> 225 100	Matches	Do not Follow	72	32,0
		Total	225	100

Table 1 presents the statistical findings related to the personal information of the participants. According to the analysis, it was concluded that 74.7% were "Female", 59.1% were between "19-21 year-olds", 37.3% had "0-500TL" monthly income, 93.3% attended to a "Private" university, 58.7% were "1st grade" students, 36.4% were "Galatasaray" fans and, 44.0% "Sometimes" followed the games.

**Table 2** The distribution of participants' identification level

Scale	Mean	Sd	Min.	Max.	N	
SSIS Total Scores	30.90	14.72	7.00	56.00	225	

Table 2 shows the total scores of "Sport Spectator Identification Scale". The study included 225 participants in which the minimum score was "7" and the maximum score was "56".

**Table 3** The score distribution for the gender variable

Scale	Gender	$\mathbf{N}$	Mean	$\mathbf{U}$	P
			Rank		
	Female	168	153.11		
SSIS	Male	57	99.39	2502.000	.000*

\*p<0.05

The results of "Mann-Whitney U" test that was administered to reveal whether sport spectators possesed different identification levels for the gender variable are shown in Table 3. According to test results, there were meaningful differences in identification levels for gender variable, which indicated that female participants had higher mean rank scores than the male participants.

**Table 4** The score distribution for the age variable

Scale	Age	N	Mean	$X^2$	P
			Rank		
	16-18 year-olds	57	113.25		
SSIS	19-21 year-olds	133	112.31	.785	.941
	22-24 year-olds	19	121.26		
	25-27 year-olds	6	120.83		
	28 years and-older	10	100.40		

\*p<0.05

As seen in Table 4, the "Kruskal Wallis" test was applied to see if sport spectators had different identification levels for the age variable. Considering the test results, there were no significant differences in identification levels for age variable. However, identification levels of the participants between 22-24 years old were higher than the participants in other age ranges.

Table 5 The score distribution for monthly income variable

Scale	Monthly	N	Mean	$X^2$	P
	Income		Rank		
	0-500 TL	84	105.82		
	501-1000	76	109.05		
	TL				
SSIS	1001-1500	36	127.39	8.105	.088
	TL				
	1501-2000	9	91.06		
	TL				
	2001 TL	20	142.15		
	and more				

\*p<0.05

Table 5 shows the "Mann-Whitney U" test results which were conducted to determine if sport spectators showed different identification levels in monthly income variable. According to test results, there were no significant differences in identification levels for monthly income variable. However, the participants with 2001TL or more monthly income had higher identification level than the ones with other monthly income ranges.

**Table 6** The score distribution for class variable

Scale	Class	N	Mean Rank	$X^2$	P
	1 <sup>st</sup> class	132	105.98		
	2 <sup>nd</sup> class	79	122.39		
SSIS	3 <sup>rd</sup> class	9	155.22	8.773	.032*
	4 <sup>th</sup> class	5	74.00		

\*p<0.05

Table 6 shows the "Kruskal Wallis" test results which were done to find out whether sport spectators had different identification levels for the class variable. According to test results, there were significant differences in identification levels for the class variable. Identification levels of participants in 3<sup>rd</sup> grade were higher than other participants.

**Table 7** The score distribution for the supported team variable

			11		
Scale	Team	N	Mean	$X^2$	P
			Rank		
	Beşiktaş	39	118.27		
	Fenerbahçe	88	118.22		
	Galatasaray	82	116.93		
<b>SSIS</b>	Trabzonspor	4	121.75	21.760	*000
	Other	12	27.83		

\*p<0.05

Table 7 presents the "Kruskal Wallis" test results administered to determine whether sport spectators were of different identification levels for the favorite team variable. To the test results, there were significant differences between identification levels for the favorite team variable. Trabzonspor supporters' identification levels were higher than other supporters.

**Table 8** The score distribution for the following status variable

Scale	Following	N	Mean	$X^2$	P
	status		Rank		
	Regularly	54	190.41		
SSIS	Sometimes	99	114.73	138.667	*000
	Don't	72	52.56		
	follow				

\*p<0.05

In Table 8, the "Kruskal Wallis" test results conducted to determine whether sport spectators had different identification levels for the following status variable were presented. And to the test results, there were significant differences in identification levels for the following status variable. Participants who regularly followed their teams had higher identification level than the participants in the other groups.

#### Conclusion

Sport is defined as an activity for entertainment, healthy life and interaction with other people. It has become a field that gathers different age groups and cultures without any discrimination. It is a powerful instrument to create an influential connection among the individuals who live in different geographical regions, and people support the teams of the countries they do not know (Akkaya, 2016).

Pehlivan (2004) expressed that sport has become a phenomenon that integrates peace, rights, laws, compassion, discipline, happiness and love concepts. Sport enables individuals to represent their countries in equal and fair way without language, religion and color discrimination. Over the years, individuals' commitment to their favorite sport clubs has consolidated and their identification levels have begun to increase. Those fans show their commitment by purchasing the licensed products of the club, going to games or supporting it on social media. Being a fan is a sense of belonging and regarded as being a part of a group in which one's thoughts are supported by other group members (Dever, 2013). Sport fans are described as the public mass that offers material or immaterial support for the club activities, purchases service and products provided by the clubs and supports their team domestically and internationally under any circumstances (Kırdar, 2006).

Today, identification concept has become a critical parameter that specifies fans' attachment to their team. As a committed member of a society, identification refers to acting and behaving on the basis of society (Acet, 2005). The connection among the fans and the team presents identification concepts. Funk and James (2001) clarified this concept as an individual's association with team members and having a social and psychological relationship. Team identification levels are essential for the clubs. High identification levels among the spectators are predicted to enhance both Pehlivan (2004) expressed that sport has become a phenomenon that

relationship. Team identification levels are essential for the clubs. High identification levels among the spectators are predicted to enhance both material and immaterial strength of the clubs. Within the scope of the purpose of this study, university students' identification levels were investigated, which is crucial for all sport branches.

When the study results were analyzed, it was found that there were significant differences in identification levels for gender variable (p>0.05). The scores of female spectators were higher than the scores of male spectators. Based on this result and the frequent use of social media in today's world is considered, it can be inferred that female spectators can show their commitment to team on social media platforms without going to the stadium. However, in "Identification Level of Sport Fans with Their Teams at Different Universities" paper written by Demirel et al. (2007), a higher identification level among male participants were revealed compared to female participants. Similarly, "An Analysis of High-School Student Fans' Identification Level with Their Favourite Team" study which was conducted by Altınok et al. (2017) indicated higher identification score averages for male fans compared to female fans. However, the results in the literature are not comparable to the results of this study. results of this study.

To the study results, there were no significant differences in identification levels for the age variable (p>0.05). However, the scores of 22-24 year-old participants were higher than the scores of the ones in other age groups. In "A Study to Determine the Effects of Sport Club Brand Identity with

Team Identification" research which was completed by Baran and Taşkın (2017), it was found that there was no significant difference between identification levels of Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences students, which is accordance with the current study results.

This study found no statistically meaningful difference in sport fans' identification levels for monthly income variable. However, the participants with 2001TL or higher monthly income scored higher than the ones with other monthly income ranges. High scores of the participants with high income might suggest that those participants have a higher chance to support their team financially than the low-income participants. The study on "a *Logistic Regression Analysis to Identify the Factors on Purchasing Team Uniform with Sponsor Brand*" administered by Tokmak and Aksoy (2016) revealed that an increase in one's monthly income results in spending much money on product sales to support the club, which in turn reinforces the identification with the team.

This study found a significant difference in sport fan identification levels for the class variable. The identification scores of the participants in 3<sup>rd</sup> grade were higher than the scores of other participants in other grades. It can be inferred that as the participants were in higher grade levels in their education life, they learned more about their teams, spent more time in university social groups and attended to a team's fan club.

According to the study results related to the teams, significant differences were seen in identification levels for team variable. In this sense,

According to the study results related to the teams, significant differences were seen in identification levels for team variable. In this sense, Trabzonspor supporters' identification levels were higher than other team supporters. In a research by Ayhan et al. (2017), "Motivations to Buy Licensed Products: A Study on University Students", Trabzonspor team fans were found to possess higher identification levels. These results are in line with our study.

In this study, when the following status variable was analyzed, a

In this study, when the following status variable was analyzed, a significant difference was identified among sport fans. The participants who regularly followed their teams had higher identification level than the ones in the other groups. Thus, it can be stated that the more often fans follow their teams, the higher identification levels they will display. Fans' sense of belonging will increase as they follow their team and they begin to see themselves as a part of the team. In "An Analysis of Psychological Attachment of Football Fans to Their Team (Adıyaman City Sample)" by Kartal and Inan (2018), it was found that fans that followed their team via media had a stronger attachment, which is a supportive outcome for the current study results. Likewise, in "Analyses on Anger and Violence Behavior of Derby Game Spectators in Turkish Football Super League" by Dal et al. (2012) it was suggested that fans become sad when their team loses. Indeed, it is likely to say that those fans' team attachment is negatively affected in case of a failure.

Ultimately, it was concluded that there was a meaningful difference in university students' team identification levels in terms of gender, class, favorite team and following status variables. It was revealed that the female participants, the students in the 3<sup>rd</sup> grade and the fans who regularly follow their teams had higher identification scores.

This study was conducted with the students at Acıbadem University in Istanbul. In the future, students from different universities might be included to compare the results. Additionally, the study can be designed to become a more comprehensive research by analyzing individuals who are a member of a club.

## **References**:

- 1. Acet M. (2005). Sporda Saldırganlık ve Şiddet, İstanbul: Morpa Kültür Publishing.
- Akkaya O. (2016). Sosyal Medya ve Spor Pazarlaması İlişkisi Taraftar Tutumlarını Belirlemeye Yönelik Bir Araştırma Beşiktaş Spor Kulübü Örneği. Master Thesis, Selcuk University, Konya.
   Altınok, B., Emir Ekinci N., Cimen, K., Özdilek Ç., Kaya İ. (2017) Lise Öğrencilerinin Taraftarı Oldukları Takım İle Özdeşleşme Düzeylerinin İncelenmesi, Sports Education Magazine, Cilt 1, Sayı 1, 59-68
- 4. Ayhan B., Aktas H., Celik F. (2017). Lisanslı Taraftar Ürünlerinin Kullanım Motivasyonları: Üniversite Öğrencileri Üzerine Gümüshane University Electronic Arastırma, Journal ofCommunication Faculty, Volume (5). Number (2), September
- 5. Baran A., Taskin E. (2017) Spor Kulübünün Marka Kişiliğinin Takımla Özdeşleşme Üzerindeki Etkisini Belirlemeye Yönelik Bir Çalışma, Dumlupınar University, Journal of Social Sciences, Number 52 / April 2017
- 6. Cakır V.O. (2017). Sporun Sosyolojik Temelleri, Sports Publishing and Bookstore, Ankara, s.13
- 7. Çakır, V.O. (2017). Spor Sosyolojisi, Sports Publishing and Bookstore, Ankara, s.16
- 8. Dal S., Odabas İ., Suna N., Bulgan C. Ve Akkaya S. (2012). Türkiye Futbol Süper Ligi Derbi Maçı İzleyicilerinin Öfke ve Saldırganlık Davranışlarının İncelenmesi, Istanbul University Journal of Sports Sciences, Volume 4, Year 2012-2014
- 9. Demirel, M., Güven Karahan, B., Ünlü, H. (2007) Farklı Üniversitelerdeki Spor Taraftarlarının Takımları ile Özdeşleşme Düzeyleri, Nigde University Journal of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, Volume 1, Number 2, 76-86.

- 10. Dever A. (2013). Endüstriyel Futbol ve Taraftarlık: Kayseri İli Örneği, Doctor's Thesis, Cumhuriyet University, Sivas.

  11. Donuk B. (2016). Spor Yönetim Sanatı. S: 113. Istanbul. Ötüken
- Neşriyat A.Ş.
- 12. Durgun, D. (2007). Türkiye'de Sporun Gelişimi ve Değişen Kullanıcı Gereksinimlerini Karşılayıcı Yönde Modern Stadyum Yapılarının Temel Planlama Özellikleri. Trakya University Institute of Science and Architecture Department.
- 13. Fisher, R.J. (1998) 'Group-derivedconsumption: the role of similarityandattractiveness in identification with a favoritesportteam',
- Advances in Consumer Research, Vol. 25, No. 3, pp.283 288.

  14. Fisek, K. (1998). Devlet Politikası ve Toplumsal Yapıyla İlişkileri Açısından Dünya'da ve Türkiye'de Spor Yönetimi. Ankara: Bagırgan Publishing.
- 15. Funk DC, James J. (2001). Thepsychologicalcontinuum model: A conceptualframeworkforunderstanding an individual'spsychologicalconnection to sport. Sport Management Review, 4(2), 119-150.
- 16. Gustave L B, Çev. S. Demirkan, "Kitleler Psikolojisi". s.153–154, Istanbul: Yagmur Publishing, 1991.
  17. HofferErich. (2007). Kesin İnançlılar, Çev: ErkılGünur, İM Yayın Tasarım, s. 41, 101, 135
- 18. Güllü, M., Güclü, M. (2006) Ortaöğretim Öğrencilerinin Taraftarı Oldukları Spor Takımları İle Özdeşleşmelerinin İncelenmesi. 9th International Congress of Sports Sciences Proceedings Book. 3- 5
- November, Mugla, s.686 689.

  19. Jacobson, B. The Social Psychology of TheCreation of A Sport Fan Identity: A TheoreticalReview Of The Literature, Athletic Insight The
- Online Journal Of Psychology, Volume 5, Issue 2-June, 2003.

  20. Kartal M. Ve İnan H. (2018) Futbol Taraftarlarının Takıma Psikolojik Bağlılık Düzeylerinin İncelenmesi (Adıyaman İli Örneği), Journal of Institute of Economic development and Social Researches, ISSN:2630-6166, Vol:4 / Issue:14, p.730-735
- 21. Kırdar, Y. (2006), Kurumsallaşan Spor Kulüplerinde Pazarlama İletişimi Faaliyetlerinin Spor Pazarlaması Sürecinde Kurum İmajı Üzerine Etkisi; Fenerbahçe Spor Kulübünde Bir Uygulama Örneği, Ege University Social Sciences Institute Unreleased Doctor's Thesis, Izmir.
- 22. Kwon, H.H. and Armstrong, K.L. (2006) 'Impulsepurchases of sportteamlicensemerchandise: whatmatters?', Journal of Sport Management, Vol. 20, No. 1, pp.101–119.

- 23. Madrigal, R. (2000) 'Theinfluence of socialallianceswithsportteams on intentions to purchasecorporatesponsors' products', Journal of Advertising, Vol. 29, No. 4, pp.1–13.
- 24. Matsuoka, H., Chelladurai, P. and Harada, M. (2003) 'Direct and antindirecteffects of teamidentification and satisfaction on intention to attendgames', Sport Marketing Quarterly, Vol. 12, No. 3, pp.244–253.
- 25. Parker, H. M. (2007). The Effect of Negative Sponsor Information and Team Response on Identification Levelsand Consumer Attitudes. (Doktora tezi). Access address (https://etd.ohiolink.edu/!etd.send\_file?accession=osu1180025349&d isposition=inline
- isposition=inline

  26. Pehlivan Z. (2004). Fair Play kavramının geliştirilmesinde okul sporunun önemi ve yeri. Journal of Spormeter Physical Education and Sports Sciences, 2(2), 49-53

  Socretic Socreticisi Socreticisi Sporu. Istanbul.
- 27. Talimciler A. Sporun Sosyolojisi Sosyolojinin Sporu. Istanbul. Baglam Publishing 2010.
- 28. Theodorakis, N. D., Koustelios, A., Robinson, L. and Barlas, A. (2009) 'Moderating role of team identification on the relationship between service quality and repurchase intentions among spectators at professional sport', Managing Service Quality, Vol. 19, No. 4, pp.456–473.
- 29. Tokmak G., Aksoy G. (2016). Futbol Taraftarlarının Takımın Forma Spornsor Markasına Olan Satın Alma Niyetlerini Etkileyen Faktörlerin Lojistik Regresyon Analizi ile Tespit Edilmesi, IU Journal of Sports Sciences 2016, (Vol) 6, (No) 2 1303-1414
- 30. Tükenmez M. (2011). Toplumbilim ve Spor, Kaynak Publishing, Istanbul. s.33
- 31. Wakefield, K.L. (1995) 'The pervasive effects of social influence on sporting event attendance', Journal of Sport&SocialIssues, Vol. 19, No. 4, pp.335–351.
- 32. Wann, D. L. (1997) SportPsychology. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall. pp. 325-367.
- 33. Wann, D.L. and Branscombe, N.R. (1993) 'Sport fans: measuring degree of identification with the team', International Journal of SportPsychology, Vol. 24, No. 1, pp.1–17.
- SportPsychology, Vol. 24, No. 1, pp.1–17.

  34. Wann, D.L. Melnick, M.J., Russell, G.W. and Pease, D.G. (2001)
  SportFans: The Psychology and Social Impact of Spectators,
  RoutledgePress, New York.
- 35. Yetim, A. (2000). Sosyoloji ve Spor. Topkar Typography: Trabzon, s.1.