

REVIEW HISTORY

Paper: **“Relationship between Emotional Intelligence and Marital Satisfaction of Male and Female Married Teachers in Anambra State”**

Corresponding Author: Etele, Anulika Valentina
Email: ifeanyichukwua@gmail.com

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v7no3a1

Peer review:
Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Mary Ann Hollingsworth
University of West Alabama, USA

Published: 30.09.2020

EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Mary Ann Hollingsworth	Email: ahollingsworth@uwa.edu
University/Country: University of West Alabama/United States	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE AND MARITAL SATISFACTION OF MARRIED TEACHERS IN ANAMBRA STATE	
Manuscript Number: 30.06.20 Number 5	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
-------------------------	-----------------------------



	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>As there is considerable discussion about gender and the hypotheses include one on male participants and one on female participants, the title would be more adequate if the term "gender" was included also.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>The abstract indicated seven null hypotheses were tested, but the body of the manuscript only indicated 3.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>There were a few typos that need correction.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>More detail is needed on constructs measured by each instrument.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
<i>If the focus is on how emotional intelligence matters in marital satisfaction, there needs to be more depth of discussion on the construct of emotional intelligence in the background and also in the implications rather than just the strong focus on marital satisfaction.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>More is needed in the section "Conclusions" as this consist of just one sentence. As noted in number five above, more depth about emotional intelligence is needed in the last three sections of the manuscript.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>The references that are listed to seem to be strong resources for the focus of the manuscript.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Overall, more depth of discussion is needed about the construct of emotional intelligence and indications concerning actions with it from the study.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

This manuscript could be an appropriate inclusion for the journal with the suggested revisions made.