#### **REVIEW HISTORY**

Paper: "2020 Vision: How a Global Pandemic and the Black Lives Matter

**Movement Focused our Teaching**"

Corresponding Author: Dunbar Rachel

Email: rdunbar@uwa.edu

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v7no4a3

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Cuauhtemoc A. Carboni

Imperial Valley College, USA

Published: 30.12.2020





# EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 8/6/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 8/6/2020	
Manuscript Title: 2020 Vision: How a Global Pandemic and the Black Lives Matter Movement Focused our Teaching		
Manuscript Number:		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper	er: Yes/ <mark>No</mark>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is av	vailable in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No		

### **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result	
Question		[Poor] <b>1-5</b> [Excellent]





European Scientific Institute

3
s presented as an
3
the actual paper.
4
1
2
,
2
the titles have

## **Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	



European Scientific Institute

Return for major revision and resubmission	X
Reject	

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

If a word is italicized in one part of the paper you should be consistent with that same format throughout your paper.

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**







# EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 8/14/2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 8/18/2020
Manuscript Title: 2020 Vision: How a Global Pandemic and the Black Lives Matter Movement	
Focused our Teaching	
Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper	er: Yes/No
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is av	vailable in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No
You approve, this review report is available in the "review	w history" of the paper: Yes/No

#### **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Quartians	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] <b>1-5</b> [Excellent]





European Scientific Institute

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
No results presented and no Methodology described	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
Few Mistakes Present	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
(Please insert your comments)  No methodology explanation, maybe due to the narrative nature of t	the article.
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
(Please insert your comments) Few Errors Present	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
Conclusion is succinct and appropriate to the article's focus	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
(Please insert your comments)	

## **Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X



European Scientific Institute

Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):** 

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:** 



