REVIEW HISTORY

Paper: "Misconceptions in Projectile Motion and Conceptual Changes via

Geogebra Applications"

Corresponding Author: Ugur Buyuk

Email: buyuk@erciyes.edu.tr

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v8no3a42

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mohamed Jaafari

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Published: 30.09.2021



European Journal of Educational Sciences



EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: May 7 Date Review Report Submitted: May 13 Manuscript Title: MISCONCEPTIONS IN PROJECTILE MOTION AND CONCEPTUAL CHANGES VIA GEOGEBRA APPLICATIONS Manuscript Number: You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]



European Journal of Educational Sciences



1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
	5
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
(Please insert your comments)	



European Journal of Educational Sciences



Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

CAN BE PUBLISHED

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



