#### **REVIEW HISTORY**

Paper: "Is Global Quality Assurance System of Higher Education in United States, Vietnam and Japan Possible?"

Corresponding Author: Patricia Abels

Email: abels@findlay.edu

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v8no3a63

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Kimberly Grantham Griffith

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Published: 30.09.2021



# European Journal of Educational Sciences



# EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 6/25/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 6/28/2021	
Manuscript Title: Is Global Quality Assurance System of Higher Education in United States, Vietnam and Japan Possible?		
Manuscript Number:		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper	er: <mark>Yes</mark> /No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No  You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No		

#### **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5



## European Journal of Educational Sciences



(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
	b
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
(Please insert your comments)	·
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
(Please insert your comments)	1
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5

## **Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	



#### European Journal of Educational Sciences

European Scientific Institute

D ' 4	
Reject	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): Expand on the study methods.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:** 

After the writers expand on the study methods, this manuscript should be published.



