REVIEW HISTORY

Paper: "Benefits and Challenges of Online Teaching During the COVID-19 Pandemic at Rundu Campus of the University of Namibia"

Corresponding Author: Emilia N Mbongo Email: embongo@unam.na

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v8no4a53

Peer review: Reviewer 1: Cuauhtemoc A. Carboni Reviewer 2: Mary Ann Hollingsworth

Published: 31.12.2021





EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 8/24/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 8/24/2021	
Manuscript Title: Benefits and Challenges of Online Teaching During the Covid-19 Pandemic at Rundu Campus of University of Namibia		
Manuscript Number:		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes		
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes		





Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
Few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes	

5
4
4
5
-





Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	Х
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the



European Scientific Institute

paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. *EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!*

Date Manuscript Received: October 19, 2021	Date Review Report Submitted: October 23, 2021		
Manuscript Title: BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES OF ONLINE TEACHING DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC AT RUNDU CAMPUS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NAMIBIA			
Manuscript Number:			
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No			
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No			

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
The title does represent the content of the article very well.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
The abstract provides good summary of objects, methods and results.	
3 . There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
The manuscript was well aligned with expected writing standards.	



European Scientific Institute

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
The methodology section needs further description of interview questions included after the reference list as an appendix.	and a copy of this
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
Other than the need for some additional content, the body of the paper is w	very clear and error-free.
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
As this topic is a current topic of great interest among educators at all leve experience, a subsection with the conclusion is needed on "Recommendat to encourage others to continue needed exploration of the topic.	1 1
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
The references do seem to provide good quantity and quality of support for	or the content of the paper.

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): Please provide some additional content per number 4 and 6 on the feedback notes.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: This study is very timely and is well written. With the addition of the information note above, this should be a strong paper for inclusion in the appropriate issue of the journal.







EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES (EJES)

