

REVIEW HISTORY

Paper: "Investigation of Primary School Children's Views of Life During the COVID-19 Pandemic Through Metaphors"

Submitted: 03 September 2021
Accepted: 28 February 2022
Published: 31 March 2022

Corresponding Author: Ahu Taneri
Email: ahutaneri@ohu.edu.tr

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v9no1a11

Peer review:
Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Nadine Bonda

Published: 31.03.2022

EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 1.3.22	Date Review Report Submitted: 1.9.22
Manuscript Title: INVESTIGATION OF PRIMARY SCHOOL CHILDREN’S VIEWS OF LIFE DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC THROUGH METAPHORS	
Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5



<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>This could benefit from an edit by a first language English reader.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>References are up-to-date and comprehensive</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	



Reject	
--------	--

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

I think this is a well-researched, well written study. The only think I would suggest is a detailed edit by a native English speaker.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF
EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES (EJES)

