REVIEW HISTORY

Paper: "Using Duolingo to Improve Beninese Secretarial Advanced Learners' Oral Communication Skills"

Submitted: 20 November 2021 Accepted: 28 February 2022 Published: 31 March 2022

Corresponding Author: Sorou Corneille Teba Email: corneillesourou@gmail.com

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v9no1a25

Peer review: Reviewer 1: Mohamed Jaafari

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Published: 31.03.2022





EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 15 December 2021 Date Review Report Submitted: 19 December 2021

Manuscript Title: Using Duolingo to Improve Secretarial Studies ESP Advanced learners' Oral Communication Skills. The Case Study of Lycée Technique Professionnel de Porto-Novo in Benin Republic

Manuscript Number:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
5





European Scientific Institute

(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
Appropriate English language and terminology	
	۲
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
Methods are stated and justified	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
Introduction, theoretical framework, methods and analysis of results are	well-developed
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
References are appropriate	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	Х
Accepted, minor revision needed	





European Scientific Institute

Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: to be published







EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 15/12/2021 Date Review Report Submitted: 04/01/2022

Manuscript Title: Using Duolingo to Improve Secretarial Studies ESP Advanced learners' Oral Communication Skills. The Case Study of Lycée Technique Professionnel de Porto-Novo in Benin Republic

Manuscript Number:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4



European Scientific Institute

(Please insert your comments)		
Since the ESP acronym first appears in the title, it should be written in its normal (full) form.		
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5	
(Please insert your comments)		
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5	
(Please insert your comments)		
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5	
(Please insert your comments)		
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5	
(Please insert your comments)		
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5	
(Please insert your comments)	- ·	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3	
(Please insert your comments)		
The number of references is rather limited. More (recent) references cou	ld be added.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	Х
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	



Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The authors of this paper present an interesting investigation of the impact of using Duolingo to complement traditional teaching-learning courses on LTP-PN secretarial ESP learners' Oral communication skills development, in the covid 19 pandemic context.

- by -

European Scientific Institute

However, the number of references is limited and more references could be added by the authors

Of course, the presented results, evidences and arguments support the conclusions of the authors and I think that this paper may be published, after minor revision.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: By taking into account the interest of this work, in my opinion this paper deserves publishing, after minor revision.



