

REVIEW HISTORY

Paper: "Participation in Physical Activity Contributes to Adolescents' Physical Activity Attitudes and Quality of Life Related to Their Body Image"

Submitted: 17 September 2021

Accepted: 04 March 2022

Published: 31 March 2022

Corresponding Author: Mustafa Akil

Email: mustafa.akil@usak.edu.tr

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v9no1a39

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Zouhaier Slimi

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Published: 31.03.2022

EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

| | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Date Manuscript Received: | Date Review Report Submitted: |
| Manuscript Title: Participation In Physical Activity Contributes To Adolescents' Physical Activity Attitudes And Life Quality Related To Their Body Image | |
| Manuscript Number: | |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes | |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes | |
| You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes | |

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| <i>Questions</i> | <i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
|--|--|
| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 4 |
| <i>Yes, the title is clear and adequate to the content of the article.</i> | |



| | |
|--|-----|
| 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. | 4 |
| <p><i>Yes, the abstract clearly presents the objectives, methods and results. However, the language should be reviewed. Aim of this study... should be: (The aim of this study...)</i></p> <p><i>This sentence should be reviewed as the structure is not clear: "Aim of this study is to determine whether the level of participation in PA contributes to the PA attitudes of adolescents and their life quality related to their physical image and to examine whether there is a difference between." (This study aims to...)</i></p> <p><i>between the ages of 15 and 17 participated in the study... (Participants were between 15 and 17 years....)</i></p> | |
| 3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 3 |
| <p><i>Yes, the article needs to be well reviewed for language, spacing issues.</i></p> | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 3.5 |
| <p><i>The study methods are explained. However, as the research deals with students who are under 18 years, there should be a clear explanation about the ethical procedures taken as mentioning that you have asked parents is not enough. Did you use any consent form? Explanation is required.</i></p> | |
| 5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. | 3.5 |
| <p><i>Numbering heading and subheadings would make the work look much better.</i></p> | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 3.5 |
| <p><i>The conclusions should be more accurate and require support. Please, refer to your main findings as well as the literature review.</i></p> | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 3.5 |
| <p><i>Yes, references are comprehensive and appropriate. However, these references should be reviewed for consistency's sake,</i></p> <p>World Health Organization (WHO). <i>Global action plan on physical activity 2018-2030: more active people for a healthier world</i>. Geneva, Switzerland. 2018.</p> <p>World Health Organization (WHO). <i>Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health</i>. World Health Organization; Geneva, Switzerland: 2010.</p> | |

The following reference also used *et al.*, while the other references with more than author you did not use the same style:

Aubert, S., Barnes, J. D., Abdeta, C., Abi Nader, P., Adeniyi, A. F., Aguilar-Farias, N., et al. (2018). Global Matrix 3.0 Physical Activity Report Card Grades for Children and Youth: Results and Analysis From 49 Countries. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 15(S2), S251–S273.

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

| | |
|--|-----|
| Accepted, no revision needed | |
| Accepted, minor revision needed | Yes |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | |
| Reject | |

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES (EJES)

