

REVIEW HISTORY

Paper: The Impact of Internet Usage on Students' Success in Selected Senior High Schools in Cape Coast metropolis, Ghana

Submitted: 15 August 2021

Accepted: 21 March 2022

Published: 30 June 2022

Corresponding Author: Kwaku Darko Amponsah

Email: kdamponsah@ug.edu.gh

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v9no2a1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Emilia Ndapandula Mbongo

Published: 30.06.2022

EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 21/02/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 02/03/2022
Manuscript Title: The Impact of Internet Usage on Students' Success in Selected Senior High Schools in Cape Coast metropolis, Ghana	
Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5



<i>The title is clear, appropriate and informative.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>The abstract outlines the study's aims, methodology, and findings; however, it needs to be more concise.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>There are some grammatical errors in the paper. Run the document through a grammar checker.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>This section needs further work. It's not clear how academic performance was measured. Further description of the questions and a copy of the survey tool should be attached.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>The body of the paper is clear. There are minimal errors.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>The conclusion is clear and relates to the research question. However, elaborate on it.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>The references are appropriate, and there is consistency between in-text citation and reference list.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Kindly elaborate on the conclusion. Additionally, run your work through a grammar checker to polish the wording and presentation of your ideas.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF
EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES (EJES)

