

REVIEW HISTORY

Paper: Determining the Training Needs Regarding the “Structuring Dimension” in the Individual Counseling Process

Submitted: 11 February 2022

Accepted: 25 April 2022

Published: 30 June 2022

Corresponding Author: Hafız Bek

Email: hafiz.bek@usak.edu.tr

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v9no2a90

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mary Ann Hollingsworth

Reviewer 2: Mohamed Jaafari

Published: 30.06.2022

EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 02/24/22	Date Review Report Submitted: 02/26/22
Manuscript Title: DETERMINING THE TRAINING NEEDS AND QUALIFICATION LEVELS OF COUNSELLOR CANDIDATES REGARDING "STRUCTURING DIMENSION" IN THE PROCESS OF INDIVIDUAL COUNSELLING	
Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5



<i>The title does adequately reflect the content of the article.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>The results in the abstract could be more clearly stated.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2
<i>The heading for the Methods section should be in bold font. There are also subsections that do not have properly formatted headings and some sections and sub-sections that do not have headings at all. The reference list is hard to read due to poor formatting of the reference list entries.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>This study method explanation has good clarity.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
<i>In the background section, a section of description is needed for the Developmental Comprehensive Supervision Model. A section of description is also needed on the structuring skill itself.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>The conclusion and summary need to be more clearly identified and stated. There is no heading for the conclusion.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>There is good integration of reference support for the study.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X



Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): The study provides good merit.
Please
Make the corrections noted in numbers 2, 3, 5, and 6 above for a better fit with publication.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: With the revisions indicated,
this article has good promise for publication in the journal.



EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF
EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES (EJES)



EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 25 March 2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 29 March
Manuscript Title: DETERMINING THE TRAINING NEEDS AND QUALIFICATION LEVELS OF COUNSELLOR CANDIDATES REGARDING “STRUCTURING DIMENSION” IN THE PROCESS OF INDIVIDUAL COUNSELLING	
Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
-----------	---



1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2
Title is too long. It should be concise and focused	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
It should be reformulated to highlight openly and concisely the goal of the research and implications	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
Page number is missing in-text citations. Passages are written in red color The total number of the article pages exceeds the recommended length (7 to 20)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
“Conclusion”as section heading is missing	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X



Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

To be published after revision

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

To be published after revision



EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF
EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES (EJES)

