REVIEW HISTORY

Paper: Values Education in Turkish Textbooks in Primary Education

Submitted: 05 January 2022 Accepted: 25 August 2022 Published: 30 September 2022

Corresponding Author: Sercan Demirgüneş

Email: sdemirgunes@ohu.edu.tr

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v9no3a1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Abdelali Kaaouach

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Published: 30.09.2022



European Journal of Educational Sciences



EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received:17/01/2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 24/01/2022	
Manuscript Title: VALUES EDUCATION IN TURKISH TEXTBOOKS IN PRIMARY EDUCATION		
Manuscript Number:		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper	er: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/ No You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/ No		

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Thiestians	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4



European Journal of Educational Sciences



The title contains three key parts (values education, Turkish textbooks, Primary education). It is clear and adequate to the content. 3 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. The object of the study and its methodology are described, even briefly. But, the context of the abstract is long. The key results are not cited. 3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. The text is well written. 4. The study methods are explained clearly. Information is detailed on the textbooks. The experts and their classification approach are not detailed in the methodology. 3 5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. The body is clear. The researchers have not detailed the discussion of the results found, nor compared these results in time (with the content of the past textbooks) and space (for example, the result established by Davidov (2010)). 4 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. Yes, the conclusion is corresponding to the content. 4 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. Yes, for this research. Sentences on the discussion of the results can be added.

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation)

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	



European Journal of Educational Sciences

European Scientific Institute

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

- In the Abstract, detail the methodology (brief description of textbooks which were analyzed, the OCR program, Experts), reduce the context (the 10 lines before the aim of the study), give the main results of the research.
- Correct in the abstract and in the Key Words: value load (positive- neutral-negative).
- Detail the methodology: description of the three experts and their classification approach.
- Detail the discussion of the results found.
- Compare the results with other found in the literature.
- Justify statistically the following result "there is no observable relationship between the grade levels and the values that the words transfer".

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



