

Paper: "Families' Involvement at Primary School and Its Impact on Their Children's

Performance in Mathematics"

Submitted: 20 June 2023 Accepted: 23 August 2023 Published: 31 October 2023

Corresponding Author: Yousef Methkal Abd Algani

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v10no2a227

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mohamed Jaafari University of Cadi Ayyad, Morocco

Reviewer 2: Halit Kiriktas Siirt University, Turkey

EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:	
Manuscript Title: Families' Involvement at Primary School and Its Impact on Their Children's Performance in Mathematics		
Manuscript Number:		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes		
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes		

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
2. The abstract clearly presents abjects, methods and results	5
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): To be published

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: To be published



EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Families' Involvement at Primary School and Its Impact on Their Children's Performance in Mathematics	
Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper	er: Yes/No
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
The title of the study is sufficient to reflect the content.	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2
Suggestions should be included at the end of the summary section.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
	1
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	1
The method part of the study should be detailed.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	2
The entire work should be reviewed in the APA format.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
(Please insert your comments)	

$\label{eq:commendation} \textbf{Overall Recommendation} \ (\mathsf{mark\ an\ X\ with\ your\ recommendation}):$

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	X
Reject	

