

Paper: "A Study on Social Media Addiction"

Submitted: 16 September 2023 Accepted: 14 October 2023 Published: 31 October 2023

Corresponding Author: Cemal Guler

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v10no2a281

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Raffaella C. Strongoli

University of Catania, Italy

Reviewer 2: Blinded

EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received:29.09.2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 03.10.2023	
Manuscript Title: INVESTIGATING SOCIAL MEDIA ADDICTION AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS		
Manuscript Number:1027/23		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes		
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes		

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
(Please insert your comments)	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
(Please insert your comments)	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
I suggest expanding the discussion of the findings by explaining what could developments in the research and what actions can be practiced to improve	*
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
(Please insert your comments)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

I suggest expanding the discussion of the findings by explaining what could be possible developments in the research and what actions can be practiced to improve the critical issues noted



EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 29/09/2023	Date Review Report Submitted:12/10/2023
Manuscript Title: INVESTIGATING SOCIAL MEDIA ADDICTION AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS	
Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2
Improve on phrasing of the title	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3	
Abstract can also incorporate recommendations or lessons learnt from the study.		
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3	
Language editing is required for the document.		
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2.5	
Explain why purposive sampling was used and why the researcher chose	the faculty of sports.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3	
Critical to provide detailed description of each of the tables in the docum under the discussion section may be well presented in the literature review	· ·	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3	
What recommendations does the study offer in dealing with social media addiction? The whole point of a study is to solve a problem.		
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4	
References are adequate.		

$\label{eq:commendation} \textbf{Overall Recommendation} \ (\mathsf{mark\ an\ X\ with\ your\ recommendation}):$

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

