



Paper: **“Examination of the Abstraction Process of Parallelogram by Sixth-Grade Students According to RBC+C Model: A Teaching Experiment”**

Submitted: 07 July 2022

Accepted: 05 July 2023

Published: 31 October 2023

Corresponding Author: Nurgul Butuner

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v10no2a101

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Raymond Arthur Chipfakacha
Higherlife Foundation, Zimbabwe

Reviewer 2: Abdelali Kaaouachi
University Mohammed I, Morocco

EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 04.03.23	Date Review Report Submitted: 07.03.23
Manuscript Title: Examination Of The Abstraction Process About Information Of Area Of Parallelogram Of Sixth Grade Student	
Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
Rephrase the title to be more succinct and clearer.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2
The abstract should have a conclusion and also propose study recommendations.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
Consider taking the paper for language editing to ensure that writing is concise and clear.	

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>I worry about the sample size being representative. It could be great to write about how the study took into consideration issues to do with validity and reliability.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
<i>Language editing is required.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
<i>Recommendations can be presented in a better detailed narrative.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	0
<i>There is no reference list</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	X
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



**EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF
EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES (EJES)**

EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received:10/03/2023	Date Review Report Submitted:24/03/2023
Manuscript Title: Examination Of The Abstraction Process About Information Of Area Of Parallelogram Of Sixth Grade Student	
Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
Yes, the title is in line with the content of the paper.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
The abstract presents objects, methods and key results. In the abstract, It was not specified how the students were selected.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
We did not notice any spelling mistakes in the text.	

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
Yes	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
Overall, the body of the paper is clear and without errors.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
Yes the conclusion is accurate and very aligned with the content.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	1
No list of references is given despite the existence of references in the text of the paper.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Add the selection mode of students.

Add a list of references at the end of the paper.

Rephrase the sentences in the "recommendations" section as a paragraph.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



**EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF
EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES (EJES)**