

Paper: "Analysis of Primary School Teachers' Distance Education Practices during the Covid-19 Pandemic in the Context of Classroom Management"

Submitted: 22 November 2022 Accepted: 16 August 2023 Published: 31 October 2023

Corresponding Author: Sevgi Erguven Akbulut

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v10no2a126

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Tamari Dolidze Batumi State Maritime Academy, Georgia

Reviewer 2: Tamar Kakutia International Black Sea University, Georgia

EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 11/07/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 14/07/2023	
Manuscript Title: Analysis of Primary School Teachers' Distance Education Practices during the Covid-19 Pandemic in the Context of Classroom Management*		
Manuscript Number: n/a		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No		
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No		

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
It is clear and adequate to the content of the paper.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4

(The abstract is clear and detailed)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
There are no errors and selling mistakes	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
The methods are indeed very clearly explained.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
The body of the paragraph is also clear.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
The summary is accurate and well justified.	-
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
References are very comprehensive and proper.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	Х
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The work is very interesting, extensive and useful in terms of its implications.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The work is extensive but in terms of the large scale of the study the abstract is also larger than standard/.



EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 18.07.2023	Date Review Report Submitted:18.07.2023			
Manuscript Title: Analysis of Primary School Teachers' Distance Education Practices during the Covid-19 Pandemic in the Context of Classroom Management*				
Manuscript Number:				
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No				
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No				

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Duostions	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	

<i>The title is clear and adequate to the content - 5</i>
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.
The abstract clearly presents object, methods and results - 5
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.
(Please insert your comments)
4. The study methods are explained clearly.
I agree, the study methods are explained clearly - 5
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.
I agree. 5
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.
Yes 5
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.
Yes. – 5;

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation)

Accepted, no revision needed	Х
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): no

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: no



EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES (EJES)