



EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF
EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES (EJES)

Paper: **“Bringing Design Thinking into Greek Secondary Language Classrooms”**

Submitted: 13 February 2023

Accepted: 16 August 2023

Published: 31 October 2023

Corresponding Author: Eleni Papantoniou

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v10no2a188

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mohamed Jaafari

University of Cadi Ayyad, Morocco

Reviewer 2: Raymond Arthur Chipfakacha

Higherlife Foundation, Zimbabwe

EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form

2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Bringing Design Thinking into Greek Secondary Language Classrooms	
Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
The title is meaningful, mentioning the components of the topic	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5

(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
Some sentences are too long with many commas, Errors of prepositions and punctuation	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
Needed examples of Learners's productions	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
Models	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
(Please insert your comments)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	



**EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF
EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES (E J E S)**

EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received:10.7.23	Date Review Report Submitted:23.7.23
Manuscript Title: Bringing Design Thinking into Greek Secondary Language Classrooms	
Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>The title is clear and adequate</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>It is important for the abstract to highlight some of the study findings, indicate the conclusions of the research as well as the study recommendations.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>The will be merit in taking the paper for language editing.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>it is important for the methodology to articulate the sampling techniques used as well as the sample size. There will be merit in quantifying some of the study findings.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
<i>The body of the paper is adequate though there is room for improvement through language editing.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
<i>The conclusion is not clearly coming out, it will be key to have a section clearly marked conclusion .</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>References are adequate.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	



**EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF
EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES (EJES)**