

Paper: "Fostering Science Teaching Excellence: A Professional Learning Community-Based Model for Sustainable Teacher Development"

Submitted: 14 August 2023 Accepted: 26 March 2024 Published: 31 March 2024

Corresponding Author: Pongthorn Asawaniwed

Doi: 10.19044/ejes.v11no1a13

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Abdullah Aydın

# EJES Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 07.09.2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 18.09.2023	
Manuscript Title: Teacher Development Model to Facilitate Science Teaching through the Concept of Professional Learning Community		
Manuscript Number:		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: No		
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes		

#### **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
It should be said the sample is from Thailand only	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	n.a.
I cannot evaluate the English.	•

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
Give explanation of the very small sample.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
(Please insert your comments)	•
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
(Please insert your comments)	

### **Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**







# Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: EJES promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

EJES editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands EJES out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. Abdullah Aydın	Email: aaydin@ahievran.edu.tr	
University/Country: Kırşehir Ahi Evran University / Turkey		
Date Manuscript Received: March 04, 2024	Date Review Report Submitted: March 04, 2024	
Manuscript Title: Fostering Science Teaching Excellence: A Professional Learning Community-Based Model for Sustainable Teacher Development		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:  Yes/No		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No		

#### **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	<mark>5</mark>
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
(Please insert your comments)	•
3. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
(Please insert your comments)	

3. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
5. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	<mark>5</mark>
(Please insert your comments)	
7. There are grammar and spelling errors.	<mark>5</mark>

## **Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

✓ My final decision is positive. The corrected version of the paper can be published.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**